

vs William Richards

vs Robert Adkins

Command was Given to the Sher. of Somerset County that he should take Robert Adkins Late of Somerset County Gent<sup>l</sup> if he should be found in his Bailiwick and him should safe keep so that he might have his body before the Justices of his Lordships County Court of Somerset to be held at Durdling Creek the third Tuesday of November then next to answer unto of a plea of trespass upon the Case and that there of he should not fail &c

All which said third Tuesday of November to witt the Seventeenth day of the same month and Bond Breach and Seven hundred and thirty being the day of the Return of the said Writ Cometh the said William Richards in his proper person and the Sher of Somerset County to whom the foregoing writ was directed <sup>pleaded</sup> Answer and makes return thereto to the Court here endorsed in these words following to wit Cyprianus of John Burnell Esq<sup>r</sup>

And the said Robert Adkins by Francis Allen and James Shirley his attorney Comes and defends the force and injury where <sup>of</sup> and prays Leave thereof to Imparele here until the next Court and he had it and the same day is Given to the aforesaid pleff here also

At which said next Court to witt the Sixteenth day of March and Bond Breach and Seven hundred and thirty came againe the said Robert Adkins by his attorney aforesaid and Offer himself against the aforesaid William Richards in the plea afo, but the said William altho. Solamuly Called Come not, nor doth in his writ afo further prosecuted &c

Therefore it is Considered that he and his pledgor of procuring bein wrong and that the name of his pledgor be sought for and that the afo Robert Adkins Goe therof without day, and also it is Considered that the afo Robert Adkins Recover against the afo William Richards his damages by Reason of the premises to One hundred and fifty five pounds of Sterens by direction of the Justice here at his request for his Costs and Charges in that part Sustained according to the form of the Statute &c by the Court here adjudged &c

155

vs Gideon Silman

vs John Burke

James of John Burke Late of Somerset County Plauter was Attacked to answer unto Gideon Silman of a plea of trespass upon the case & AND whereupon the same Gideon by Leon Gale his Attorney complains that whereas the aforesaid John the the tenth day of May Ann<sup>d</sup> Dom<sup>n</sup> one thousand Seven hundred and thirty at Somerset County within the Jurisdiction of this Court was Indebted unto the said Gideon in the Sum of three pounds fifteen Shilling and five pence current money as by note therewith in Court produced may appear and so thereof being Indebted the said John In Consideration thereof afterwards to witt the same day and year afo at the County aforesaid and within the aforesaid Jurisdiction upon himself assumed and to the said Gideon then and there faithfully did promise that when afterwards he should be there requested he the afo sum of three pounds fifteen Shill. and five pence Cur<sup>t</sup> money to the same Gideon would well and faithfully Content and pay never the less the said John his promise and assumption aforesaid in forme aforesaid made Little regarding but plotting and fraudulently intending him the same Gideon in that part Craftily and subtilly to Defeat and Deceive he the said John the aforesaid sum of three pounds fifteen Shill. and five pence Current money nor any part thereof to the same Gideon hath not paid or him for it in any wise contented altho the said John afterwards to witt

(he)