same day is given to the afresaid Defft here also &c —

At which said next Court to wit the nineteenth day of November anno Dom. One thousand seven hundred and twenty Eight Came again as well the afs John Hendry as the afs Rachell Alexander by their attorneys afs and whereupon the afs Rachel by her said attorney prayed further leave to Imparle hereunto, unto the next Court and she hath it and the Same day is given to the afs Defft here also &c —

At which said next Court to wit the Eighteenth day of march anno Dom. One thousand seven hundred and twenty Eight Came again as well the afs John Hendry as the afs Rachell Alexander by their attorneys afs and whereupon the afs Rachel by her said attorney prayed further leave to Imparle hereunto unto the next Court and she hath it and the Same day is given to the afs Defft here also &c —

At which said next Court to wit the seventeenth day of June anno Dom. One thousand seven hundred and twenty nine Came again as well the afs John Hendry as the afs Rachel Alexander by their attys afs and whereupon the afs Rachel by her said attorney prayed further leave thereof to Imparle hereunto unto the next Court and she hath it and the Same day is given to the afs Defft here also &c —

At which said next Court to wit the nineteenth day of August anno Dom. One thousand seven hundred and twenty nine Came again as well the afs John Hendry as the afs Rachell Alexander by their attorneys afs and whereupon the afs Rachell by her said attorney prayed further leave thereof to Imparle hereunto unto the next Court and she hath it and the same day is given to the afs Defft here also &c —

At which said next Court to wit nineteenth day of November anno Dom. One thousand seven hundred and twenty nine Came again as well the afs John Hendry as the afs Rachell Alexander by their attorneys afs and the afs John Prays that the afs Rachell to his declaration afs may answer whereupon the afs Rachell hath two hours to her given by the Court here to answer &c and the said Rachell afterwards the same day solemnly called to answer Cometh not nor the same attorney of the afs Rachell for the said Rachell nothing thereof says in bar or precluson of the action afs, of the afs John Hendry by which the said John remaineth against the afs Rachell thereof undefended &c Wherefore the said John his damages by occasion of the premise against the said Rachell ought to recover &c whereupon the Justices here according to act of Assembly assess the damages of the said John besides his Costs & Charges to forty two pounds four shillings & six pence curr. money of Maryland

Therefore It is Considered that the afs John Hendrey Recover against the afs Rachell Alexander admx of the afs Henry Alexander dec. his damages afs to forty two pounds four shillings & six pence curr. money of Maryland as also three hundred and Seventy Eight pounds of tobacco for his Costs and Charges to the same John Hendry at his request by the Court here of his assent adjudged, of the goods and Chattels which were of the afs Henry Alexander at the time of his death in the hands of the afs Rachell remaining to be administred if so much in her hands she hath, and if so much in her hands she hath not then the Costs afs to be Levied of the proper goods and Chattels of the afs Rachell and the afs Defft in mercy &c —