

Courts. Money for the like sum for his use before that time at his request by  
 the said Jos: paid & sothered being indebted to said Andrew in our ideration these  
 & after words to with the same Day & Year as at w<sup>ch</sup> City & within w<sup>ch</sup> Jur:  
 upon himselfe a s<sup>um</sup> of & to the same Jos: then & there faithfully promised that  
 the w<sup>ch</sup> sum p<sup>ou</sup>nds when afterwards he sh<sup>ou</sup>ld thereunto be requested w<sup>ch</sup> at  
 sum of ten p<sup>ou</sup>nds unto the same Jos: he would well & faithfully content and  
 pay w<sup>ch</sup> without lesse w<sup>ch</sup> Andrew his promise & assumption of in form aforesaid made  
 little regarding but plotting & fraudulently intending him w<sup>ch</sup> Jos: in that part  
 craftily & subtilly to defraud & deceive he w<sup>ch</sup> sum of ten p<sup>ou</sup>nds.  
 For any part thereof unto w<sup>ch</sup> same Jos: hath not said or bin said in any  
 wise contented Altho' w<sup>ch</sup> Andrew w<sup>ch</sup> same to do afterwards to with w<sup>ch</sup> same Day & Year  
 at w<sup>ch</sup> City & within w<sup>ch</sup> Jur: was thereto requested but w<sup>ch</sup> Andrew w<sup>ch</sup> same  
 therto to doe hath altogether refused & still doth refuse when by w<sup>ch</sup> same Jos:  
 says he is worse & hath Damage to w<sup>ch</sup> value of twenty p<sup>ou</sup>nds like money  
 thereof he brings this suite &c. - I hale of Quers. Ledges &c. I doe wish to see

At which said third Tuesday of <sup>August</sup> ~~the~~ to with <sup>the</sup> ~~the~~ day of w<sup>ch</sup> same  
 month <sup>Ann.</sup> ~~Ann.~~ One thousand Seven hundred twenty nine being the day of the return of  
 the writ Comitt the said Jos: M<sup>as</sup>ter by his atty & the Clerk of the Court of the City to  
 whom the foregoing writ was directed likewise Comitt the return thereof  
 to w<sup>ch</sup> City here Endowed viz<sup>t</sup>. - Houert Duventur Short note Leg<sup>at</sup> of John Curuell s<sup>er</sup>vant

Whereupon w<sup>ch</sup> Jos: M<sup>as</sup>ter by his atty & says that for as much  
 as he has complied with w<sup>ch</sup> Law in order to an attachment he may have Judgement  
 against w<sup>ch</sup> said Andrew Scott for his Damages at by way of Attachment according  
 to the out of a s<sup>em</sup>bley &c. Whereupon for that it seems to w<sup>ch</sup> Court here that the  
 said Jos: M<sup>as</sup>ter has complied with w<sup>ch</sup> Law as alledged

Therefore it is considered by the Justices here that w<sup>ch</sup> at Joseph  
 M<sup>as</sup>ter recover against the said Andrew Scott as well the sum of ten p<sup>ou</sup>nds  
 & his Damages at also all Costs & Charges by the said Jos: laid out  
 and Expended about this suite by way of Attachment against the Goods Chattels  
 rights or Credits of the said Andrew Scott according to act of a s<sup>em</sup>bley in  
 such case made and provided &c.

The Court adjourns until the third Tuesday of  
 August Ann. Dom. 1729 --

