

Aug<sup>st</sup> Court 1729 . . . 21

Somerset County Here to remain vs. Thereupon the said Francis Mercer praye that the said Philip Taylor to his declaration also may answer, wherupon the said Philip Taylor hath daye while next Court to him given by the Court here to answer unto the said Francis Mercer in the place and vs.

At which said next Court to witt the nineteenth day of August anno Domini One thousand Seven hundred and Twenty Nine came again the said Francis Mercer by his attorney and the same Chilieg of the same day being solemnly called to answer cometh not nor says nothing in Barr or Excuson of the action and of the said Francis by which the same Francis Remaineth against the said Philip thereof and default vs.

Wherefore the said Francis his damages by reason of the non performance of the promise and agreement and against the said Philip ought to recover vs. whereupon at the prayer of the same Francis the Justices here do assess the damage of the same Francis by reason of the promise and agreement according to act of assembly in such sum as made and proposed consider his costs and charges by him about his suit in that part appeared to be eight pounds five shillings and shalpe paying just money vs.

Therefore it is considered that the said Francis Mercer recover against the said Philip Taylor his damages and to eight pounds five shillings and a half Penny just money by the Justices herein fore assessed as also one hundred and ~~thirteen~~ <sup>one</sup> pounds of tobacco for his costs and charges to the same Francis by the court here at his request adjudged and the said defendant pay vs. And afterwards the said Philip Taylor being present herein Court is at the prayer of the said Francis Mercer by his attorney committed into the custody of the Sher. of Somerset County Here to remain until the said Francis Mercer of his damages and costs and should be fully satisfied by the said Sher. to will for Francis Hatch Esqren here in Court took charge of the said Philip Taylor accordingly vs.

At Francis Mercer

Somerset. William Whittington late of Somerset County Gentleman was al-

so to answer unto Francis Mercer of cause of his pass upon the case vs.  
for William Whittington And whereupon vsd Francis Mercer by Francis Allen his attorney  
claims it whereas of said Willm of fiftie day of Octob: Anno Dom: Seventeen  
Hundred & twenty Eight at Sandett C: paid with his Jus of this Co: was indebted unto vsd said  
Francis Mercer in twenty Six pounds Eight Shillings Nine pence just money of Maryland it being as  
well for credit given as for Sundry Goods wares & Merchandizes bought of said Francis Mercer to the said  
Willm at vsd Speciall Instance & request of vsd Willm before time sold & delivered as by act her  
unto annexed may appear in Co: & being so thereof indebted vsd Willm in consideration thereof  
day by year and at vsd day and upon himself did a summe to vsd Francis Mercer then there faithfully  
did promise that he of said Willm of said twenty Six pounds Eight Shillings Nine pence to vsd said Francis  
Mercer when thereunto afterwards he should be requested he would well & faithfully paye & content  
all his vsd debt of vsd twenty Six pounds Eight Shillings Nine pence to vsd Francis he leath  
vsd Willm satisfied yet as to residue thereof of vsd Willm his promise & payment as in form aforesaid  
Nothing regarding but gotttinge fraudulently, Intending of said Francis in that part lasttly, Subtil  
ly to deceive & defraud vsd said Francis as to vsd same to do of said Willm on vsd fiftidey  
of October in vsd year and often after wards at vsd C: and by vsd said Francis he was therunto leg  
ested hattnot paid or to him for vsd same in any wise contented but vsd same to him hatt to paye  
in any sort to content hatt refused still doth refuse & deny Whereupon he saith he is worse  
hate Dams. to vsd value off

And thereupon he Brings Suite —

of Allen & Queen. Credges 2d. I doe R. Rose