

Novemb. Court 1726 192

The eighth day of December One thousand seven hundred and twenty five at Somersett County and  
within the Jurisdiction of this Court was Indebted unto the said Andrew in three pounds Eight Shillings  
and Nine pence Lawfull money of America as by acc<sup>t</sup>. hereto annexed may in Court appear.  
and being so thereof Indebted the said James in Consideration whereof the day and year aforesaid at the  
place aforesaid upon himself did assume and to the said Andrew did then and there faithfully promise  
that he the said James the said three pounds Eight Shillings and nine pence to the said Andrew  
when he should be therunto Requested he would well and faithfully pay and content, nevertheless  
left the said James his promise and assumption aforesaid in form aforesaid made nothing regarding but plotting  
and fraudulently intending the said And<sup>w</sup>. in that part craftily and Subtilly to deceive and defraud  
the aforesaid thereto Requested the said three pounds Eight Shillings and nine pence to the said Andrew  
hath not paid but the same to him hitherto to pay hath refused and still doth refuse and deny  
to the damage of the said Andrew of Six pounds Seventeen Shillings and Six pence money aforesaid  
and whereupon he brings suit vs<sup>t</sup> James Scott deft. the Doe. Ro Roe

1726. 11  
Decem: 7.

James Scott      Due  
To Cash paid Cap' Harrison . . . . . £ 2. 6. 8  
To Laundry according too . . . . . 1. 2. 1  
Errors Excepted      3. 8. 9

June 20: 1726.      Due And<sup>w</sup>. Scott.

June 24: 1726. Proved in Open Court the Oath of And<sup>w</sup>. Scott

Thomas Hayward Esq.

And the aforesaid James Scott by George Dashwood his attorney General and defendant the fore and  
injury when & to pay leave hereoff to Imparte hereto until the next Court and he hath  
it and the same day is given there aforesaid Eliz. Here also.

At which said Court to wit the twenty first day of June anno domini One thousand  
seven hundred and twenty six again as well the aforesaid And<sup>w</sup>. Scott, as the aforesaid James Scott by their  
attorneys aforesaid, and the aforesaid attorney of the aforesaid James says, that he is not informed by the said  
James his agent, of any answer for the same sum, to the aforesaid Andrew in the plea aforesaid to be  
given, and nothing else hereof say, by which the same aforesaid remains against the aforesaid deft. thereof  
undefended — wherefore the aforesaid Eliz his damages by reason of the non performance of  
the promise and assumption aforesaid against the aforesaid deft. ought to recover, whereupon at the  
prayer of the aforesaid Andrew Scott and by the consent of the same James Scott the Justice here  
according to custom aforesaid in due course made and provided do after the damage of the same  
aforesaid by reason of the non performance of the promise and assumption aforesaid besides his costs  
and charges, by him about his suit in that part expended to three pounds Eight Shilling and Nine  
pence — ~~but money~~ Therefore it is considered by the Court here the fifteenth day of June  
and