

August Court - - - - 1726 - - - - 176 - -

6 Dicte halle paid or bethier of them for it in any wise Counted alled the same Charles afterward in his life time to witt I same day and year as at County as willin d. ad Jur. by R. Nathaniel in his ofc time of the same Boij<sup>o</sup> and Mary since the death of d. same Charles to witt d. tenth day of May and Dated one thousand seven hundred and twenty four at d County asd within d. ad Jurisdiction by d. same to w. since d. Dicte ofd. same Nathaniel and the C. of wifalls between d. same Boij<sup>o</sup> & Mary celebrated was thereto requested but they and Cooy of them the same letter to say have altogether refused & still do the same where by the same w. says booy was and d. and to the value of three thousand pd. oftobd. & therof he brings this suit d. and the same w. bring hereinto for the letters transalby w. by  
 Hale & Quod glodges & t. Beck Koe

July 20<sup>th</sup> 1724: Charles Eunis d<sup>r</sup> to Nat<sup>r</sup>. Eunis as his book make appear  
 To y<sup>r</sup> former account - - - - - 1863  
 For new Saddle making and fidding of old Staff - - - - - 150  
 \_\_\_\_\_ 2017

Contree	-	60
By	-	525
By miref.	-	20
3 y Bas Due	-	545
Errors Engaged of me Wm. Eunis	-	1472

And the afo Boij<sup>o</sup> Tull and Mary his wife & coys of the afo Charles Eunis recd. by Geo. Dashiel their attorney come and Defend the force and Injury when & and pray leave to Imparte heremts until next Court and they leave it and the same Day is given to d. Elft also &

All which said next Court to witt the sixteenth day of Novemb. and Dated one thousand seven hundred and twenty five came again as well the afo William Eunis & as as the afo Boij<sup>o</sup> Tull and Mary his wife & coys as by their attorney afo, and the said Boij<sup>o</sup> Tull and Mary his wife by their attorney further leave to Imparte heremts until the next Court and they leave it and the same day is given to the afo Elft also

All which said next Court to witt the fifteenth day of March and Dated one thousand seven hundred and twenty five came again as well the afo Wm. Eunis & as as the afo Boij<sup>o</sup> Tull & Mary his wife & coys as by their attorney afo, and the said Boij<sup>o</sup> Tull and Mary his wife by their attorney further leave to Imparte heremts until the next Court and they leave it & d. same day is given to the afo Elft also

All which said next Court to witt the twenty first day of June and Dated one thousand seven hundred and twenty six came again as well the afo Wm. Eunis & as as the afo Boij<sup>o</sup> Tull and Mary his wife & coys as by their attorney afo, and the same attorney of the same Boij<sup>o</sup> and Mary says that he is not informed by the afo Boij<sup>o</sup> and Mary his wife of any answer to the afo Elft in the place afo to be given nor nothing else therof days in bar of the action of the afo Elft by which the same Elft remains against the same deft hereof undefended - Wherefore

The afo William his damages by reason of the premises Ought to recover, but because it is not known to his Lordship's Justice what Damages the afo William hath sustained by reason of the Non performance of the promise and assumption afo as for his costs and charges by him about his suit in that Court expended. Wherefore Command is given to the Sherif of Somerset County that by the Costs of honest and lawfull men of this County he diligently Enquire what Damages