

Mr. Williams, of Anne Arundel, moved that the vote by which said proposed substitute to said amendment proposed was rejected be reconsidered.

Which motion prevailed by yeas and nays, as follows :

## AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. President,	Crothers,
Applegarth,	Kirwan,
Beasman,	Moore,
Betts,	Moses,
Bouic,	Peter,
Brewington,	Rohrback,
Bryan,	Williams, of A. A.,
	—14.

## NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Baker,	Messick,
Dennis,	Putzel,
Dick,	Ravenscroft,
Dodson,	Wilkinson,
Gray,	Williams, of Har.,
Marsh,	—11.

The question then recurred upon the adoption of the substitute offered by Mr. Moses for said amendment proposed by Mr. Putzel.

Which proposed substitute was adopted by yeas and nays, as follows :

## AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. President,	Kirwan,
Applegarth,	Moore,
Beasman,	Moses,
Betts,	Peter,
Bouic,	Rohrback,
Brewington,	Williams, of A. A.
Bryan,	—14.
Crothers,	

## NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Baker,	Messick,
Dennis,	Putzel,
Dick,	Ravenscroft,
Dodson,	Wilkinson,
Gray,	Williams, of Har.,
Marsh,	—11.

And said substituted amendment was adopted.