

Which motion was rejected by yeas and nays as follows :

## AFFIRMATIVE.

|                    |               |
|--------------------|---------------|
| Messrs. President, | Crothers,     |
| Beasman,           | Moore,        |
| Betts,             | Moses,        |
| Bouc,              | Peter,        |
| Brewington,        | Rohrback—11., |
| Bryan,             |               |

## NEGATIVE.

|                |                     |
|----------------|---------------------|
| Messrs. Baker, | Putzel,             |
| Dennis,        | Ravenscroft,        |
| Dodson,        | Wilkinson,          |
| Gray,          | Williams, of Har.,  |
| Marsh,         | Williams, of A. A., |
| Messick,       | —11.                |

Mr Bryan moved that the Senate reconsider its vote by which the following amendment to the amendment to Senate bill No. 5 was adopted.

After the words "Baltimore city," line 14, page 2, printed bill, insert, "No sewerage either in a crude state or after being subjected to chemical or other treatment, nor any of the products or results thereof, shall under any circumstances be emptied into the Chesapeake bay or its tributaries, or upon any marsh or marshy land contiguous thereto, until after the State Board of Public Works shall have the same examined by three experts to be appointed by said board, and to be paid by the city of Baltimore, one of which shall be selected from Baltimore city, and one from one of the oyster producing counties of the Eastern shore, and one from one of the oyster producing counties of the Western shore of the State.

And said Board of Public Works is satisfied from said examination that the said sewage is free from all impurities such as would be detrimental to the oyster or fish industries of the State.

The examination so made shall be certified by said experts to said Board of Public Works and by said Board made public at the expense of said city.

And notwithstanding the result of said examination