

(7) THE STATE SHALL PROVIDE ONE-THIRD OF THE TOTAL COST OF THE PERFORMANCE AUDIT UP TO \$200,000, WITH RELEASE OF THE FUNDS CONTINGENT ON APPOINTMENT OF THE MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT PANEL.

(8) (I) THERE SHALL BE A COORDINATION OFFICE WITH STAFF APPOINTED BY THE MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT PANEL.

(II) THE COORDINATION OFFICE SHALL PROVIDE SUPPORT TO THE MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT PANEL AND SERVE AS LIAISON BETWEEN THE STATE, PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, AND THE MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT PANEL FOR THE DURATION OF THE FOUR-YEAR PERIOD.

(III) THE STATE SHALL FUND THE TOTAL OPERATING COSTS OF THE COORDINATION OFFICE.

(H) (1) IN THIS SUBSECTION, "NEW LOCAL SCHOOL BOARD FUNDS" MEANS ADDITIONAL FUNDING PROVIDED BY THE LOCAL SCHOOL BOARDS FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL LIBRARIES IN EXCESS OF THE FISCAL 1998 FUNDING PROVIDED BY THE LOCAL SCHOOL BOARDS FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL LIBRARIES.

(2) FOR FISCAL YEAR 1999 AND EVERY FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER, THE GOVERNOR SHALL INCLUDE IN EACH YEAR'S OPERATING BUDGET A TOTAL OF \$3,000,000 IN GRANTS TO LOCAL SCHOOL SYSTEMS FOR THE PURPOSE OF ENHANCING ELEMENTARY SCHOOL LIBRARY PROGRAMS BASED ON EACH COUNTY'S PERCENT OF TOTAL FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT ENROLLMENT STATEWIDE FOR THE PREVIOUS FISCAL YEAR.

(2) (3) IN ORDER TO RECEIVE FUNDS UNDER THIS SUBSECTION, EACH COUNTY BOARD SHALL PROVIDE EQUAL MATCHING FUNDS TO BE USED FOR SCHOOL LIBRARY PROGRAMS MATCH THE STATE GRANT DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR WITH NEW LOCAL SCHOOL BOARD FUNDS.

(3) (4) TO THE EXTENT THAT A LOCAL SCHOOL BOARD DOES NOT PROVIDE NEW LOCAL SCHOOL BOARD FUNDS TO MEET THE LOCAL MATCH REQUIRED IN PARAGRAPH (3) OF THIS SUBSECTION, THE STATE GRANT SHALL REVERT TO THE GENERAL FUND.

(5) THE STATE SUPERINTENDENT SHALL ESTABLISH GUIDELINES AND CRITERIA FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS UNDER THIS SUBSECTION. IN DEVELOPING GUIDELINES, PRIORITY SHALL BE GIVEN TO UPDATING LIBRARY BOOK AND OTHER RESOURCE COLLECTIONS.

(4) (6) FOR FISCAL YEAR 1999 AND EVERY FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER, AND SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SUBSECTION, SCHOOL LIBRARY GRANTS SHALL BE PROVIDED TO COUNTY BOARDS AS FOLLOWS:

<u>(I) ALLEGANY</u> .....	<u>40,266</u>
<u>(II) ANNE ARUNDEL</u> .....	<u>268,456</u>
<u>(III) BALTIMORE CITY</u> .....	<u>380,390</u>
<u>(IV) BALTIMORE</u> .....	<u>376,316</u>