

<u>Agency</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>General Funds</u>
<u>Judiciary</u>	<u>CA00.04</u>	<u>District Court</u>	<u>657,638</u>
	<u>CA00.07</u>	<u>Court Related Agencies</u>	<u>73,174</u>
<u>Public Defender</u>	<u>CB00.02</u>	<u>District Operations</u>	<u>199,000</u>
<u>DPSCS - OTS</u>	<u>QA01.02</u>	<u>Data Services</u>	<u>269,497</u>
<u>DPSCS - DPDS</u>	<u>QP00.04</u>	<u>Central Booking and Intake Facility</u>	<u>578,496</u>
<u>DPSCS - DPDS</u>	<u>QP00.02</u>	<u>Pretrial Release Services</u>	<u>160,828</u>

(1) That the Greater Baltimore Committee has, at no cost to the State, for no consideration, and free and clear of all liens, legally transferred by deed recordation, a fully renovated and operational building to the State Maryland Economic Development Corporation (MEDCO) to be used for the purpose of housing the Community Court, provided that the building is only to be operated and maintained by either the Department of General Services or the Judiciary; and;

(2) That the DBM documents the level and extent of actual private sector funding which is to be used to fund all or a portion of the following elements of the Community Court: building acquisition, building renovation, and development and operations of information systems; and

(3) That comprehensive detailed plans for the implementation of a Community Court in the Baltimore City downtown district and west Baltimore City are prepared. Each community court plan shall include the following elements: cost projections, caseload estimates, list of nuisance crimes to be processed, list of community services to be performed by offenders, service levels, service providers and costs incurred for drug treatment programs for offenders, and the expected role of and coordination between the District Court, the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services, the Office of the Public Defender, the Baltimore City State's Attorneys' Office, the University System of Maryland, Baltimore City, and the Greater Baltimore Committee.

Upon the submission of the report by DBM, the budget committees shall have 45 days to review and comment.

Further provided that it is the intent of the General Assembly that no State funds may be expended for the Community Court until Baltimore City, the Greater Baltimore Committee, and private sector contributors each provide the budget committees with a signed memorandum of understanding that provides the specific level of funds to support the renovation and/or debt service required to fund the renovations on the building. In addition, the memorandum of understanding shall indicate the specific commitment for program and operating costs of the Community Court for fiscal 1999 and 2000. The budget committees shall have 45 days to review and comment on the memorandum of understanding.

Further provided that it is the intent of the General Assembly that the funding commitments for the Community Court from Baltimore City, the Greater Baltimore Committee, and private sector sources, are to be provided, at a minimum, for fiscal 1999 and 2000. It is further the intent of the General Assembly that the DBM shall advise the budget committees at any time during fiscal 1999 or fiscal 2000 should the