## (C) DETERMINATION OF NUMBER.

- (1) THE NUMBER OF A CLAIMANT'S DEPENDENTS SHALL BE DETERMINED AS OF THE 1ST DAY OF THE BENEFIT YEAR AND SHALL BE FIXED FOR THE DURATION OF THE BENEFIT YEAR.
- (2) AFTER AN INDIVIDUAL HAS BEEN DETERMINED TO BE A DEPENDENT OF A CLAIMANT, THE INDIVIDUAL MAY NOT BE CONSIDERED TO BE A DEPENDENT OF ANY OTHER CLAIMANT WHOSE BENEFIT YEAR STARTS WITHIN 1 YEAR AFTER THE DETERMINATION.
  - (D) EFFECT ON CONTRIBUTION RATE.

IN THE COMPUTATION OF A CONTRIBUTION RATE UNDER PART II OF SUBTITLE 6 OF THIS TITLE, AN ALLOWANCE FOR A DEPENDENT SHALL BE CONSIDERED A BENEFIT.

(E) EFFECT ON BENEFIT ACCOUNT.

NOTWITHSTANDING SUBSECTION (D) OF THIS SECTION, AN ALLOWANCE FOR A DEPENDENT MAY NOT BE DEDUCTED FROM A CLAIMANT'S BENEFIT ACCOUNT.

REVISOR'S NOTE: This section is new language derived without substantive change from former Art. 95A, § 3(c) and the reference in § 3(d) to treatment of allowances with respect to the claimant's benefit account.

In the introductory language of subsection (a) of this section, the word "legally", which formerly modified "adopted child", is deleted as surplusage.

Also in the introductory language of subsection (a) of this section, the former reference to "current" benefit year is deleted as unnecessary since, by definition, only the current benefit year would be applicable.

Also in the introductory language of subsection (a) of this section, the phrase "on the 1st day" is substituted for the former phrase "at the beginning", for accuracy.

In subsection (b)(2) of this section, the phrase "in the Schedule of Benefits" is added for clarity.

In subsection (c)(1) of this section, the phrase "as of the 1st day of the benefit year" is substituted for the former phrase "as of the day with respect to which he first files a valid claim for benefits in any benefit year", for brevity and for conformity to subsection (a) of this section.

In subsection (c)(2) of this section, the word "dependent" is substituted for the former word "child", for clarity. The former word "child" was too narrow since it may not have included an adopted child or stepchild on behalf of whom a claimant may receive an allowance for a dependent.