Defined terms: "Benefits" § 8-101 "State" §§ 1-101 and 8-101

8–703. ARRANGEMENTS TO COMBINE WAGE CREDITS FROM DIFFERENT EMPLOYMENTS

THE SECRETARY SHALL PARTICIPATE IN AN ARRANGEMENT FOR PAYMENT OF BENEFITS THAT ARE BASED ON A COMBINATION OF COVERED EMPLOYMENT AND EMPLOYMENT THAT IS COVERED UNDER THE UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE LAW OF ANOTHER STATE IF:

- (1) THE **STATES** UNITED SECRETARY OF LABOR. CONSULTATION WITH THE AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR ADMINISTRATION OF THAT LAW, APPROVES THE ARRANGEMENT AS REASONABLY CALCULATED TO ENSURE PROMPT AND FULL PAYMENT COMPENSATION IN CASES IN WHICH THERE IS A COMBINATION OF EMPLOYMENTS: AND
 - (2) THE ARRANGEMENT INCLUDES A PROVISION TO:
- (I) APPLY A BASE PERIOD THAT IS DETERMINED UNDER THE LAW OF A SINGLE STATE;
- (II) AVOID DUPLICATION OF WAGES IN COMPUTATION OF BENEFITS THAT ARE BASED ON THE EMPLOYMENTS;
- (III) REIMBURSE THE UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE FUND FOR BENEFITS THAT ARE BASED ON EMPLOYMENT THAT IS COVERED UNDER THE UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE LAW OF ANOTHER STATE; AND
- (IV) USE THE UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE FUND AS THE SECRETARY FINDS TO BE FAIR AND REASONABLE TO REIMBURSE THE FUND OF ANOTHER STATE FOR BENEFITS THAT ARE BASED ON COVERED EMPLOYMENT.
 - REVISOR'S NOTE: This section is new language derived without substantive change from former Art. 95A, §§ 19(e) and 20(i).

The introductory language of item (2) of this section is revised to clarify that the "arrangement" must include the enumerated provisions. The introductory language of former Art. 95A, § 19(e) used the relative pronoun "which", and it seemed to refer back to "laws of other states". However, in context, the required provisions seemed appropriate for an "arrangement", but not for a "law".

Defined terms: "Benefits" § 8-101
"Covered employment" § 8-101
"Secretary" § 8-101

8-704. COOPERATION AMONG AGENCIES.

(A) FINDING.