

(5) THE SECRETARY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH § 2-1312 OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT ARTICLE, SHALL SUBMIT AN ANNUAL REPORT TO THE GOVERNOR AND GENERAL ASSEMBLY ON THE ACTIVITIES OF THE CANCER REGISTRY, INCLUDING UTILIZATION OF CANCER REGISTRY DATA.

~~SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That Section(s) 18-203 of Article Health General of the Annotated Code of Maryland be repealed.~~

SECTION 3. 4. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect July 1, 1991.

May 24, 1991

The Honorable R. Clayton Mitchell, Jr.
Speaker of the House of Delegates
State House
Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Dear Mr. Speaker:

In accordance with Article II, Section 17, and Article III, Section 52(8) of the Maryland Constitution, I have today expressly disapproved and vetoed the following item in House Bill 210, The Maryland Consolidated Capital Bond Loan of 1991:

Item (1)(d)(iii) appearing on page 11 of the enrolled bill entitled:

“Design and construct Criminal Justice Center Hyattsville (Prince George’s County 800,000”.

I will subsequently sign House Bill 210, which is approved except for this item.

The Prince George’s Justice Center-Hyattsville is an important project and one that is genuinely needed. However, the limitations on State capital resources and the need to prioritize projects in the State’s five-year capital improvement program prevents me from approving this item this year.

Over the last five years, my Administration has made a concerted effort to develop a five-year capital improvement program that is based on the State’s Debt Affordability criteria for each of the five years of the program. As you know, programs funded in one year can now reasonably expect construction funding to be appropriated when design is complete. This has eliminated the practice of providing a level of design appropriations in one year that would result in a level of construction activity in the following years in excess of the State’s Debt Affordability limits.

The five-year capital improvement program is designed to address the capital needs of the State and is prepared only after careful review of all potential projects. I believe that individual project decisions made outside of this process compromise the integrity of the