

~~(I) PART OF A COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT PLAN THAT HAS SUBSTANTIAL AND DIRECT PUBLIC USES AND BENEFITS;~~

~~(II) NECESSARY TO CARRY OUT THE COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT PLAN; AND~~

~~(III) NOT BEING CONDEMNED SOLELY OR PRIMARILY TO BENEFIT A PRIVATE PARTY;~~

~~(2) (I) THE COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT PLAN COULD NOT BE CARRIED OUT BY PRIVATE DEVELOPERS; AND~~

~~(II) CONDEMNATION IS NECESSARY TO ACCOMPLISH THE COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT PLAN; AND~~

~~(3) WITH RESPECT TO A BUSINESS THAT WILL BE AFFECTED BY THE COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT PLAN:~~

~~(I) THE EFFECT OF CONDEMNATION ON THE BUSINESS AND WHETHER THE COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT PLAN COULD BE RESTRUCTURED TO AVOID THE CONDEMNATION OF THE BUSINESS HAVE BEEN CONSIDERED;~~

~~(II) THE DISPLACED OWNER OR TENANT OF THE BUSINESS HAS BEEN GIVEN A REASONABLE OPPORTUNITY TO BE INCLUDED IN THE COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT PLAN; AND~~

~~(III) IF THE BUSINESS COULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN THE COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT PLAN, THE GOVERNMENT UNIT HAS PROVIDED THE DISPLACED OWNER OR TENANT OF THE BUSINESS WITH AN ALTERNATIVE LOCATION SUITABLE TO MAINTAIN THE BUSINESS;~~

~~(D) THE GOVERNMENT UNIT SHALL MAKE A WRITTEN RECORD OF ITS FINDINGS UNDER SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION.~~

~~(E) (1) THE STANDARD OF JUDICIAL REVIEW APPLICABLE TO ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS SHALL APPLY TO THE FINDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT UNIT UNDER SUBSECTION (C) OF THIS SECTION.~~

~~(2) IN ITS REVIEW, THE COURT SHALL DETERMINE IF:~~