- 3. WHAT SPECIFICALLY THE DEFENDANT SHOULD HAVE DONE TO MEET THE SPECIFIC STANDARD OF CARE; AND
- 4. THE INFERENCE THAT THE BREACH OF THE STANDARD OF CARE PROXIMATELY CAUSED THE PLAINTIFF'S INJURY; AND

(V) FOR THE DEFENDANT:

- $$\rm 1.$$ How the defendant complied with the specific standard of care;
- 2. What the defendant did to meet the specific standard of care; and
- 3. IF APPLICABLE, THAT THE BREACH OF THE STANDARD OF CARE DID NOT PROXIMATELY CAUSE THE PLAINTIFF'S INJURY.
- $\ ^{(2)}$ AN EXTENSION OF THE TIME ALLOWED FOR FILING A SUPPLEMENTAL CERTIFICATE UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE GRANTED FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN.
- (3) THE FACTS REQUIRED TO BE INCLUDED IN THE SUPPLEMENTAL CERTIFICATE OF A QUALIFIED EXPERT SHALL BE CONSIDERED NECESSARY TO SHOW ENTITLEMENT TO RELIEF SOUGHT BY A PLAINTIFF OR TO RAISE A DEFENSE BY A DEFENDANT.

(C) SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SECTION:

- (1) IF A PLAINTIFF FAILS TO FILE A SUPPLEMENTAL CERTIFICATE OF A QUALIFIED EXPERT FOR A DEFENDANT, ON MOTION OF THE DEFENDANT THE COURT $\frac{MAY}{DEFENDANT}$, OR $\frac{MITH}{DEFENDANT}$; OR
- (2) IF THE DEFENDANT FAILS TO FILE A SUPPLEMENTAL CERTIFICATE OF A QUALIFIED EXPERT, ON MOTION OF THE PLAINTIFF THE COURT SHALL \underline{MAY} ADJUDICATE IN FAVOR OF THE PLAINTIFF ON THE ISSUE OF LIABILITY \underline{AS} TO \underline{THAT} $\underline{DEFENDANT}$.
- (D) (1) THE MARYLAND RULES APPLY TO FILING AND SERVING A COPY OF A CERTIFICATE REQUIRED UNDER THIS SECTION AND IN MOTIONS RELATING TO A VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION.
- $\,$ (2) NOTHING CONTAINED IN THIS SECTION PROHIBITS OR LIMITS A PARTY FROM MOVING FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MARYLAND RULES.
- (E) FOR PURPOSES OF THE CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SECTION:
 - (1) A PARTY MAY NOT SERVE AS A PARTY'S EXPERT; AND
 - (2) THE CERTIFICATE MAY NOT BE SIGNED BY:
 - (I) A PARTY: