S.B. 269 VETOES

- (III) THE PERSON CONTESTING REGISTRATION WAS ENTITLED TO NOTICE, BUT NOTICE WAS NOT GIVEN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STANDARDS OF  $\S$  9.5–107 OF THIS TITLE, IN THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE COURT THAT ISSUED THE ORDER FOR WHICH REGISTRATION IS SOUGHT.
- (E) IF A TIMELY REQUEST FOR A HEARING TO CONTEST THE VALIDITY OF THE REGISTRATION IS NOT MADE, THE REGISTRATION IS CONFIRMED AS A MATTER OF LAW AND THE PERSON REQUESTING REGISTRATION AND ALL PERSONS SERVED SHALL BE NOTIFIED OF THE CONFIRMATION.
- (F) CONFIRMATION OF A REGISTERED ORDER, WHETHER BY OPERATION OF LAW OR AFTER NOTICE AND HEARING, PRECLUDES FURTHER CONTEST OF THE ORDER WITH RESPECT TO ANY MATTER THAT COULD HAVE BEEN ASSERTED AT THE TIME OF REGISTRATION.

9.5-306.

9.5-307.

- (A) A COURT OF THIS STATE MAY GRANT ANY RELIEF NORMALLY AVAILABLE UNDER THE LAW OF THIS STATE TO ENFORCE A REGISTERED CHILD CUSTODY DETERMINATION MADE BY A COURT OF ANOTHER STATE.
- (B) A COURT OF THIS STATE SHALL RECOGNIZE AND ENFORCE, BUT MAY NOT MODIFY, EXCEPT IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUBTITLE 2 OF THIS TITLE, A REGISTERED CHILD CUSTODY DETERMINATION OF A COURT OF ANOTHER STATE.
- (A) IF A PROCEEDING FOR ENFORCEMENT UNDER THIS SUBTITLE IS COMMENCED IN A COURT OF THIS STATE AND THE COURT DETERMINES THAT A PROCEEDING TO MODIFY THE DETERMINATION IS PENDING IN A COURT OF ANOTHER STATE HAVING JURISDICTION TO MODIFY THE DETERMINATION UNDER SUBTITLE 2 OF THIS TITLE, THE ENFORCING COURT SHALL IMMEDIATELY COMMUNICATE WITH THE MODIFYING COURT.
- (B) THE PROCEEDING FOR ENFORCEMENT CONTINUES UNLESS THE ENFORCING COURT, AFTER CONSULTATION WITH THE MODIFYING COURT, STAYS OR DISMISSES THE PROCEEDING.

9.5-308.

- (A) (1) A PETITION UNDER THIS SUBTITLE SHALL BE VERIFIED.
- (2) CERTIFIED COPIES OF ALL ORDERS SOUGHT TO BE ENFORCED AND OF ANY ORDER CONFIRMING REGISTRATION SHALL BE ATTACHED TO THE PETITION.
- (3) A COPY OF A CERTIFIED COPY OF AN ORDER MAY BE ATTACHED INSTEAD OF THE ORIGINAL.
- (B) A PETITION FOR ENFORCEMENT OF A CHILD CUSTODY DETERMINATION SHALL STATE: