

[(i)] 1. The law enforcement officer initially **LAWFULLY** detained a vehicle **DURING A CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION OR** for a traffic violation;

[(ii)] 2. The law enforcement officer is a party to the oral communication;

[(iii)] 3. The law enforcement officer has been identified as a law enforcement officer to the other parties to the oral communication prior to any interception;

[(iv)] 4. The law enforcement officer informs all other parties to the communication of the interception at the beginning of the communication; and

[(v)] 5. The oral interception is being made as part of a video tape recording.

(II) IF ALL OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF SUBPARAGRAPH (I) OF THIS PARAGRAPH ARE MET, AN INTERCEPTION IS LAWFUL EVEN IF A PERSON BECOMES A PARTY TO THE COMMUNICATION FOLLOWING:

1. THE IDENTIFICATION REQUIRED UNDER SUBPARAGRAPH (I)3 OF THIS PARAGRAPH; OR

2. THE INFORMING OF THE PARTIES REQUIRED UNDER SUBPARAGRAPH (I)4 OF THIS PARAGRAPH.

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect October 1, 2002.

May 16, 2002

The Honorable Casper R. Taylor, Jr.  
Speaker of the House  
State House  
Annapolis MD 21401

Dear Mr. Speaker:

In accordance with Article II, Section 17 of the Maryland Constitution, I have today vetoed House Bill 182 - Commercial Law - Dishonored Instruments - Notice of Dishonor.

This bill authorizes the holder of a dishonored check or other instrument, as an alternative to obtaining a certificate of mailing from the U.S. Postal Service, to execute an affidavit that attests to the mailing of a dishonor notice.

Senate Bill 119, which was passed by the General Assembly and signed by me, accomplishes the same purpose. Therefore, it is not necessary for me to sign House Bill 182.

Sincerely,  
Parris N. Glendening  
Governor