- (i) The impact of the program development and review process on the quality and accessibility of postsecondary education in the State; and
- (ii) Any increased costs due to duplication of programs.12-106.
- (d) With respect to each institution under its jurisdiction, and subject to the provisions of Title 11 of this article, in consultation with the Chancellor, the Board shall:
- (1) Review each new program proposed to be established and implemented within existing program resources in accordance with § 11-206.1 of this article;
 - (2) Ensure that the new program:
- (i) Is consistent with the institution's adopted mission statement in accordance with Title 11, Subtitle 3 of this article;
- (II) MEETS CRITERIA FOR THE QUALITY OF NEW PROGRAMS, DEVELOPED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE MARYLAND HIGHER EDUCATION COMMISSION; and
- (ii) (III) Can be implemented within existing program resources of the institution, VERIFIED BY A PROCESS ESTABLISHED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE MARYLAND HIGHER EDUCATION COMMISSION; and
- (3) Approve the proposed new program within 60 days if the program meets the criteria in item (2) of this subsection, subject to the requirements of § 11-206.1(c) and (d) of this article.
- (e) With respect to the program approval provisions in this title and Title 11 of this article, the Board of Regents shall take action as a Board to approve or disapprove a new program, and may consult with the Chancellor and appropriate University staff.

Chapter 515 of the Acts of 1999

SECTION 11. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That Section 2 of this Act shall take effect July 1, 1999. It shall remain effective for a period of [3] 5 years and, at the end of June 30, [2002] 2004, with no further action required by the General Assembly, Section 2 of this Act shall be abrogated and of no further force and effect.

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect July 1, 2002.

May 16, 2002

The Honorable Thomas V. Mike Miller, Jr.
President of the Senate
State House
Annapolis MD 21401