

interrogation because in the context of this provision the adjective "entire" seemed to the Public Safety Article Review Committee to be a better word choice.

In subsection (k)(3) of this section, the reference to the law enforcement officer's "representative" is added for consistency with subsection (j) of this section.

In subsection (m)(2) of this section, the references to the law enforcement officer's "counsel" is added for consistency with subsection (j) of this section.

Defined terms: "Chief" § 3-101

"Hearing" § 3-101

"Law enforcement officer" § 3-101

"Person" § 1-101

3-105. APPLICATION FOR SHOW CAUSE ORDER.

(A) IN GENERAL.

A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER WHO IS DENIED A RIGHT GRANTED BY THIS SUBTITLE MAY APPLY TO THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY WHERE THE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER IS REGULARLY EMPLOYED FOR AN ORDER THAT DIRECTS THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THE RIGHT SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED.

(B) CONDITIONS.

THE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER MAY APPLY FOR THE SHOW CAUSE ORDER:

(1) EITHER INDIVIDUALLY OR THROUGH THE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER'S CERTIFIED OR RECOGNIZED EMPLOYEE ORGANIZATION; AND

(2) AT ANY TIME PRIOR TO THE BEGINNING OF A HEARING BY THE HEARING BOARD.

REVISOR'S NOTE: This section is new language derived without substantive change from former Art. 27, § 734.

In subsection (a) of this section, the references to a right "granted" by this subtitle are substituted for the former references to a right "afforded" for consistency with language used throughout this subtitle.

Defined terms: "County" § 1-101

"Hearing" § 3-101

"Hearing board" § 3-101

"Law enforcement officer" § 3-101

3-106. LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE CHARGES.

(A) IN GENERAL.