

- (i) Is entitled to notice under subsection (a) of this section; and
- (ii) Has an interest in the trust, estate, or fund that is the subject of a complaint filed under this subsection.

(2) A person entitled to notice under subsection (a) of this section who objects to the appointment of the successor fiduciary may, within 60 days after substitution of the successor fiduciary, file a complaint for removal of the successor fiduciary in the circuit court for the county in which the principal place of business of the successor fiduciary is located.

(3) After notice to all interested parties and a hearing, the court [may]:

(I) MAY appoint a new fiduciary to replace the successor fiduciary if it finds that substitution of the successor fiduciary under § 15-1A-02 of this subtitle will adversely affect administration of the trust, estate, or fund and that appointment of a new fiduciary will be in the best interests of the plaintiff and all other interested parties; AND

(II) SHALL APPOINT A NEW FIDUCIARY TO REPLACE THE SUCCESSOR FIDUCIARY IF THE COMPLAINT FOR REMOVAL INCLUDES AN OBJECTION TO THE QUALIFICATIONS OF THE SUCCESSOR FIDUCIARY AND THE SUCCESSOR FIDUCIARY'S QUALIFICATION IS DEPENDENT ON THE PROVISIONS OF § 15-1A-03(A) OF THIS SUBTITLE.

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect October 1, 2001.

May 17, 2001

The Honorable Thomas V. Mike Miller, Jr.
President of the Senate
State House
Annapolis MD 21401

Dear Mr. President:

In accordance with Article II, Section 17 of the Maryland Constitution, I have today vetoed Senate Bill 587 – Anne Arundel County – Nuisance Abatement and Local Code Enforcement – Enforcement Authority.

This bill authorizes the County Attorney or State's Attorney for Anne Arundel County, the Annapolis City Attorney or a community association within whose boundaries a nuisance exists to bring an action in the District Court for injunctive or other equitable relief for abatement of a nuisance.

House Bill 1344, which was passed by the General Assembly and signed by me, accomplishes the same purpose. Therefore, it is not necessary for me to sign Senate Bill 587.

Sincerely,