

(1) UNLESS PREVIOUSLY SET BY THE DISTRICT COURT UNDER SUBSECTION (B)(2) OF THIS SECTION, SHALL SET A TRANSFER HEARING UNDER § 4-202 OF THIS SUBTITLE TO BE HELD WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THE FILING OF THE CHARGING DOCUMENT IN THE CIRCUIT COURT;

(2) UNLESS PREVIOUSLY ORDERED BY THE DISTRICT COURT UNDER SUBSECTION (B)(2) OF THIS SECTION, MAY ORDER THAT A STUDY BE MADE UNDER § 4-202 OF THIS SUBTITLE; AND

(3) SHALL REQUIRE THAT PROMPT NOTICE BE GIVEN TO COUNSEL FOR THE CHILD, OR, IF THE CHILD IS NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL, TO THE OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER.

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That the provisions of this Act shall be construed prospectively to apply only to offenses committed on or after the effective date of this Act and may not be applied or interpreted to have any effect on or application to offenses that were committed before the effective date of this Act.

SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect October 1, 2001.

May 17, 2001

The Honorable Thomas V. Mike Miller, Jr.
President of the Senate
State House
Annapolis MD 21401

Dear Mr. President:

In accordance with Article II, Section 17 of the Maryland Constitution, I have today vetoed Senate Bill 363 – Electronic Transactions Protection Act.

This bill establishes an Electronic Transaction Education, Advocacy, and Mediation Unit within the Office of the Attorney General.

House Bill 14, which was passed by the General Assembly and signed by me, accomplishes the same purpose. Therefore, it is not necessary for me to sign Senate Bill 363.

Sincerely,
Parris N. Glendening
Governor

Senate Bill No. 363

AN ACT concerning

Electronic Transactions Protection Act

FOR the purpose of establishing an Electronic Transaction Education, Advocacy, and Mediation Unit in the Office of the Attorney General; specifying the purpose and