Morgan - State University Review conducted by James L. Fisher LTD from November 1999 to February 2000. The Action Plan should include timetables and benchmarks, and identify—the party responsible for implementing each element. The Action Plan should address all of the recommendations made in the February 2000 report, including the following areas: (1) general condition of the University; (2) academic programs; (3) faculty: (4) student services and financial aid: (5) administration: (6) budget and financial management: (7) private support and outside grants; (8) public relations; (9) governance: and (10) other issues raised during the course of the review. The Action Plan should also address any recommendations with which the University does not agree. The budget committees shall have 45 days to review and comment on the Action Plan.

Eurther provided that it is the intent of the General Assembly to initiate a review of the University's implementation of the recommendations and the University's Action Plan by an outside-consultant. selected by the General Assembly in consultation-with the University and the Maryland Higher Education Commission. in 2001, provided that it is the intent of the General Assembly that, consistent with the development of the updated Statewide Plan for Higher Education and revised campus mission statements, the Board of Regents of Morgan State University shall provide to the Maryland Higher Education Commission, the Senate Budget and Taxation Committee, the Senate Economic and Environmental Affairs Committee, and the House Appropriations Committee not later than October 15, 2000, a strategic plan of action for implementing the recommendations of the university review performed by James L. Fisher