

(4) THE ECONOMIC VALUE OF THE RESOURCE-BASED INDUSTRIES OR SERVICES PROPOSED FOR PROTECTION THROUGH LAND CONSERVATION, SUCH AS AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY, RECREATION, AND TOURISM;

(5) THE OVERALL QUALITY AND COMPLETENESS OF THE RURAL LEGACY PLAN, INCLUDING:

(I) ~~EXISTING ZONING~~ THE DEGREE TO WHICH EXISTING PLANNING, ZONING, AND GROWTH MANAGEMENT POLICIES CONTRIBUTE TO LAND CONSERVATION AND THE PROTECTION OF CULTURAL RESOURCES;

(II) THE DEGREE TO WHICH THE PROPOSED PLAN IS CONSISTENT WITH THE APPLICABLE LOCAL COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, INCLUDING PROTECTION OF SENSITIVE AREAS AND MINERAL RESOURCES;

(III) HOW WELL EXISTING OR NEW CONSERVATION PROGRAMS ARE COORDINATED WITH THE PROPOSED ACQUISITION PLAN;

~~(III)~~ (IV) HOW WELL THE PLAN WILL MAXIMIZE ACQUISITION OF REAL PROPERTY INTERESTS IN CONTIGUOUS BLOCKS OF LAND WITHIN THE RURAL LEGACY AREA WHILE PROVIDING FOR PROTECTION OF ISOLATED ACQUISITIONS IMPORTANT TO THE PLAN;

~~(IV)~~ (V) PROVISIONS FOR PROTECTION OF RESOURCES, SUCH AS VOLUNTARILY GRANTED OR PURCHASED EASEMENTS, FEE ESTATE PURCHASES, OR GIFTS OF LANDS;

~~(V)~~ (VI) HOW THE SPONSOR PLANS TO MANAGE, PRIORITIZE, AND SEQUENCE EASEMENT AND LAND ACQUISITIONS;

~~(VI)~~ (VII) METHODOLOGY FOR PRIORITIZING AND VALUING OR APPRAISING EASEMENTS;

~~(VII)~~ (VIII) PROPOSED TITLEHOLDERS FOR EASEMENT OR FEE ESTATE ACQUISITIONS; AND

~~(VIII)~~ (IX) THE QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM FOR HOLDING AND MONITORING OF EASEMENT RESTRICTIONS IN PERPETUITY;

(6) THE STRENGTH AND QUALITY OF PARTNERSHIPS CREATED FOR LAND CONSERVATION AMONG FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND LAND TRUSTS FOR IMPLEMENTING THE PLAN, INCLUDING:

(I) FINANCIAL SUPPORT;

(II) DEDICATION OF STAFF AND RESOURCES; AND

(III) COMMITMENT TO AND DEVELOPMENT OF LOCAL LAND CONSERVATION POLICIES, SUCH AS CHANGES IN ZONING AND USE OF TRANSFERABLE DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS;