

SECTION ~~5~~ 6. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That, on or before ~~April 30,~~
February 1, 2000, a consultant shall complete an interim review of the Baltimore City Public School System and report the findings of the evaluation to the Governor, the Mayor, and, in accordance with § 2-1312 of the State Government Article, the General Assembly. The New Baltimore City Board of School Commissioners and the Maryland State Department of Education shall jointly select and equally share the cost of the consultant and determine the scope of the interim review. At a minimum, the interim review shall evaluate both the educational and management reforms made by the New Baltimore City Board of School Commissioners. The review may include recommendations to the General Assembly concerning changes to the structure and power of the Board, in addition to recommendations to the Board concerning modifications to the Master Plan adopted in accordance with this Act. On or before December 1, 2001, a consultant shall conduct a final comprehensive review and evaluation of the New Baltimore City Board of School Commissioners. The Board and the Maryland State Department of Education shall jointly select and equally share the cost of the consultant and determine the scope of the final comprehensive review. At a minimum, the comprehensive review and evaluation shall determine whether there has been improvement in the management of and student achievement in the public schools in Baltimore City. The consultant shall report the findings of the evaluation to the Governor, the Mayor, and, in accordance with § 2-1312 of the State Government Article, the General Assembly. The consultant ~~may~~ shall make recommendations, ~~if any,~~ concerning the continuation, modification, or termination of the New Baltimore City Board of School Commissioners and governance system established by this Act.

SECTION ~~6~~ 7. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That the provisions of this Act reflect the terms of the consent decrees entered in the cases "Bradford, et al v. Maryland State Board of Education, et al", case no. 94340058/CE189672; "Board of School Commissioners, et al v. Maryland State Board of Education, et al", case no. 9528055/CL2002151, Baltimore City Circuit Court; and "Vaughn G., et al v. Mayor and City Council, et al", case no. MJG-84-1911, United States District Court for the District of Maryland and reflect a commitment to appropriate additional funds for the Baltimore City public schools in the following amounts: \$30 million in Fiscal Year 1998 and \$50 million in each of Fiscal Years 1999 through 2002, inclusive.

SECTION ~~7~~ 8. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That, ~~consistent with the consent decrees described in Section 6 7 of this Act, the State is committed to appropriating additional funds to Baltimore City if the City's full-time equivalent enrollment, as determined under § 5 202(a)(7) of the Education Article, for purposes of calculating the State share of basic current expenses under § 5 202(b) of the Education Article in Fiscal Years 1998 through 2002 2001, is less than Baltimore City's current enrollment projections for those fiscal years. For any fiscal year in which the enrollment utilized in calculating the State share of basic current expenses is less than the current enrollment projection, the additional funds appropriated to Baltimore City shall equal the difference between the projected enrollment for Baltimore City and the full-time equivalent enrollment for Baltimore City, as determined under § 5 202(a)(7) of the Education Article, multiplied times Baltimore City's State share of basic current expenses per full-time equivalent student for that fiscal year. For purposes of this section, "Baltimore City's current enrollment projections" means the following:~~