

## (2) ALL CONTRACTS FOR CATERING SERVICES.

(C) A FOOD SERVICE FACILITY THAT IS LICENSED IN MORE THAN ONE POLITICAL SUBDIVISION MAY SATISFY THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SECTION BY DISPLAYING THE FOOD SERVICE FACILITY LICENSE NUMBER OF ANY OR ALL OF ITS LICENSES ISSUED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS.

## (D) THIS SECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO A PERSON WHO:

(1) PREPARES FOOD IN A KITCHEN OF ANOTHER PERSON'S PRIVATE HOME FOR SERVICE IN THAT PRIVATE HOME; OR

(2) PREPARES FOOD IN A KITCHEN OF A PRIVATE HOME OR A KITCHEN OF A SCHOOL, RELIGIOUS OR CHARITABLE ORGANIZATION, OR NONPROFIT INSTITUTION FOR SERVICE OR SALE ~~IN~~ TO BENEFIT A SCHOOL, RELIGIOUS OR CHARITABLE ORGANIZATION, OR NONPROFIT INSTITUTION.

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect ~~October 1, 1994~~ January 1, 1995.

May 26, 1994

The Honorable Casper R. Taylor, Jr.  
Speaker of the House of Delegates  
State House  
Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Dear Mr. Speaker:

In accordance with Article II, Section 17 of the Maryland Constitution, I have today vetoed House Bill 482.

House Bill 482 would establish a three-year welfare reform pilot program in the State. I introduced this legislation in the hopes that the General Assembly would join me in taking the bold and innovative steps necessary to reform our failed system of welfare. It was my expectation that Maryland would lead the nation by transforming its welfare system from one that fosters dependence and irresponsible behavior to one that rewards work and fosters self-reliance and family stability. I envisioned a carefully balanced program that both rewards positive behavior and sanctions unhealthy behavior that leads to greater dependency.

Unfortunately, as amended, the legislation falls far short of these goals. House Bill 482 does not include a statewide family cap, which was the cornerstone of my welfare reform proposal. Without it, the bill is an unbalanced and expensive program that sends the wrong message to welfare recipients. I cannot support House Bill 482 without a family cap and I believe a majority of Marylanders share this view.

Under the family cap proposal, Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) benefits would not increase for any child born to a welfare recipient more than 10 months after the recipient's initial application or redetermination for benefits. However, the child