## VETOES

be allowed to be admitted into evidence. These bills would only increase the potential for delay and abuse of the system.

The Department of Human Resources along with others involved in paternity cases have pledged to work with the sponsors of these bills to redraft the bills to eliminate this unintended and undesirable technical defect.

For the above reasons, I have decided to veto House Bill 254.

Sincerely, William Donald Schaefer Governor

House Bill No. 254

AN ACT concerning

Paternity Proceedings - Blood Tests

FOR-the-purpose-of-allowing-the-results-of-certain-blood-tests-to be-admissible-in-evidence-without-the-presence-of-the laboratory-technician-who-made-the-report;--providing-for certain-notice-requirements-if-the-laboratory-report-is admitted-without-the-testimony-of-the-technician;--allowing any-party-to-petition-for-the-technician-to-be-present-at trial;-and-generally-relating-to-the-admission-in-evidence of-the-results-of-a-blood-test-in-paternity-proceedings:

FOR the purpose of making a copy of a laboratory report of certain blood tests admissible in evidence without the presence of the laboratory technician or analyst who made the laboratory report of the blood test under certain circumstances; providing for certain notice requirements if an individual intends to introduce a laboratory report evidence without the testimony of the technician or analyst; allowing any party to petition-for-require the technician or analyst to be present at trial by providing a certain notice a certain time before trial; providing that if certain notice is given, the laboratory report is not admissible without the presence testimony, and subject to cross-examination, of the technician analyst; or generally relating to the admission in evidence of the results of a laboratory report of a blood test in paternity proceedings.

## BY renumbering

Article - Family Law Section 5-1029(f) and (g), respectively