

WILLIAM DONALD SCHAEFER, Governor

(iii) The total circumstances of the case dictate that seizure and forfeiture is justified; these circumstances would include such factors as the following:

(a) The possession of controlled dangerous substances;

(b) An extensive criminal record of the violator;

(c) A previous conviction of the violator for a controlled dangerous substances violation;

(d) Corroborated information is developed indicating that the violator is or was recently a seller, or frequently associates with individuals known to be distributors of illegal controlled dangerous substances or paraphernalia;

(e) Circumstances of the arrest;

(f) The manner in which the vehicle was being used.

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect July 1, 1988.

May 27, 1988

The Honorable Thomas V. Mike Miller, Jr.
President of the Senate
State House
Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Dear Mr. President:

In accordance with Article II, Section 17 of the Maryland Constitution, I have today vetoed Senate Bill 473.

Senate Bill 473 regulates how disputes involving construction contract payments are to be resolved. It requires that when such disputes occur the undisputed amounts must be paid. In addition, it exempts five different types of transactions, including State procurement contracts, from the provisions of the Act.

It is my understanding that this legislation will allow contractors to bypass the dispute provisions set forth in the local government's contracting laws, contract provisions, and regulations. Granting that type of authority to contractors