

fourth clauses of the first sentence of former Art. 56, § 217(c)(1):

Subsection (e) of this section is new language derived without substantive change from the second sentence of former Art. 56, § 217(c)(1), as it related to the requirement that an applicant for a real estate broker license pass an examination.

Subsection (f) of this section is new language derived without substantive change from the second sentence of former Art. 56, § 220 and, as it related to the ability of an applicant for a real estate broker license to perform the functions of a real estate broker, the first clause of the first sentence of § 217(c)(1).

Subsection (a) of this section is restated in standard language to introduce the basic requirements an applicant must meet to qualify for a real estate broker license. The standard language avoids an inference in the first sentence of former Art. 56, § 217(c)(1), which allowed, rather than required, the Commission to demand proof of qualifications and, therefore, suggested incorrectly that the Commission could waive the requirements of this section. Similarly, subsection (f) of this section is rephrased to clarify that, while the Commission has discretion to set additional qualifications, once set, all applicants must meet those qualifications.

Also in subsection (a) of this section, the former express reference to the application of the licensing requirements to "members of copartnerships and associations and officers of corporations" is deleted as unnecessary. The relationship that an individual has with a business entity has no effect on the license requirements under this title. See the General Subcommittee Comment to this title.

In subsections (b) and (f) of this section, the standard phrase "good character and reputation" is substituted for the former terms "trustworthy" and "honesty, truthfulness, [and] integrity", to conform to similar provisions in the proposed Business Occupations Article.

In subsection (d)(2) of this section, the former alternative requirement that an applicant be "duly qualified to practice law in this State" is restated, for clarity, as a directive that the Commission waive the requirements of paragraph (1) of the subsection if