

THE RATE OF INTEREST UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE THE RATE OF INTEREST ON JUDGMENTS AS PROVIDED UNDER § 11-107(A) OF THE COURTS ARTICLE.

REVISOR'S NOTE: This section is new language derived without substantive change from former SF §§ 11-137(j) and 11-139(c).

Defined terms: "Appeals Board" § 15-201
"Contract claim" § 15-215
"Procurement officer" § 11-101

15-223. JUDICIAL REVIEW AND ENFORCEMENT.

(A) IN GENERAL.

(1) A DECISION OF THE APPEALS BOARD IS SUBJECT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW IN ACCORDANCE WITH § 10-215 OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT ARTICLE.

(2) ANY PARTY TO AN APPEALS BOARD DECISION, INCLUDING A UNIT, MAY APPEAL A FINAL DECISION OF THE APPEALS BOARD TO A COURT OF COMPETENT JURISDICTION.

(B) ENFORCEMENT OF DECISIONS.

WHEN A DECISION ABOUT A PROTEST OR CONTRACT CLAIM HAS BECOME FINAL AND IS NO LONGER SUBJECT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW, THE DETERMINATION SHALL BE ENFORCEABLE IN THE APPROPRIATE COURT.

REVISOR'S NOTE: This section is new language derived without substantive change from former SF §§ 11-137(e) and 11-139.

In subsection (a)(2) of this section, the former phrase "[n]otwithstanding the Administrative Procedure Act" is deleted as unnecessary since nothing in the Administrative Procedure Act prevents a unit from seeking judicial review of a decision of the Appeals Board. See Md. Port Adm. v. C. J. Langenfelder, 50 Md. App. 525 (1982).

In subsection (b) of this section, the reference to "a protest or contract claim" is substituted for the former reference to "a complaint under subsections (b) through (d)", for clarity, since former § 11-137(b) through (d), which is scattered throughout this revision, related to the procedures for resolving protests and contract claims.