

March Court 1716

Copy of the writ by the Court and a deed being filed upon  
 said writ issued forth and a copy thereof sent therewith in order to  
 be served thereunto according to Act of Assembly in such Cases  
 made was as follows viz. Kent County Maryland vs John Paisly of  
 Kent County County in the Province of Maryland and did John  
 Casper of Kent County planter in y<sup>e</sup> Province above was  
 summoned to answer unto Richard Davis of a plea that he  
 render unto him y<sup>e</sup> full and just sume of Twelve hundred and  
 fifty pounds of good sound Merchantable leaf Tobacco &  
 Cask of y<sup>e</sup> value of five pounds four Shillings and Two pence  
 Sterling money which he unjustly detains & ENJOY thereupon  
 the afd Richard by Thomas Bowen his atty South that whereas  
 the afd John by his certain Bill obligatory which the said John  
 made sealed with his seale and as his deed to the said John  
 the sixteenth day of June in the year of our Lord Christ seven-  
 teen hundred and fifteen at Kent County afd within the Jurisdiction  
 of this Court delivered hereunto Court brought bound himselfe  
 to pay or cause to be paid unto the afd Richard the afd Twelve  
 hundred and fifty pounds of good sound Merchantable leaf  
 Tobacco & Cask of the afd value of five pounds four Shillings  
 and two pence Sterling money at or upon the tenth day of  
 October next ensuing the date of the said Bill yet the afd John  
 altho often required the afd twelve hundred and fifty lbs  
 of Tobacco to the afd Richard hitherto hath not rendered but  
 that to render the said John hitherto hath denied and yet  
 denies to y<sup>e</sup> damage of the said Richard Ten pounds Sterling  
 money and thereupon he brings Suite &c. &c. *Writ of Du-  
 ppe* John Doe & Richard Roe and the said ptt pray that  
 the said Deft to his deed afd may answer. And the said Deft  
 being called came And at this Court the same day above men-  
 tioned in his proper person appeared to the above action and  
 South that he cannot gain say the Action afd of him the said ptt  
 nor but that the writing obligatory above mentioned is his  
 act and deed and is willing that Judgment should be entered  
 for the same and Cost accruing. Therefore it is considered  
 by