

March Court 1715  
ordered that his recognizance and sureties be discharged  
James Dunachan and his recognizance taken in the sum of ten pounds sterling for his  
app<sup>r</sup> to this Court and good behav<sup>r</sup> in any mean time no person shewing  
any reason why the said recognizance should not be discharge this Court here  
discharges the same.

Like wise Thomas Cook and Michael Byrne sureties for the said James  
recognizance in the sum of five pounds sterling each for the appearance  
of the said James & good behaviour as of the same recognizance being taken  
by y<sup>e</sup> a Justice the fourteenth day of Feb<sup>r</sup> last are by this Court here  
discharged

Robert Deavinish as an Lord. as the same entered into recognizance before  
y<sup>e</sup> same Justice y<sup>e</sup> day of app<sup>r</sup> in y<sup>e</sup> sum of five pounds sterling. And y<sup>e</sup> Rob<sup>t</sup>  
in his proper person app<sup>r</sup> to the same. ordered by y<sup>e</sup> Court here y<sup>e</sup>  
the same recognizance be discharged

Rob<sup>t</sup> Deavinish app<sup>r</sup> recognizance app<sup>r</sup> is by this Court here discharged

Peter Debruler another Lord. as the same in his proper person  
appears to his recognizance. ordered by this Court here that his recognizance be  
discharged

Peter Debruler app<sup>r</sup> his recognizance in the sum of five pounds sterling  
for his app<sup>r</sup> to this Court. this Court here discharges the same recognizance

M<sup>r</sup> Nath Hynson proves en Acc<sup>o</sup> ag<sup>t</sup> John Griffiths for  
two pds twelve Shill<sup>ings</sup> & two pence and that he hath paid no  
part thereof

His Majesty's recognizance taken y<sup>e</sup> M<sup>r</sup> William Conroy one of his  
Majesty's Justices for said County. the said John  
John Murphey Murphey in his proper person appears to the same  
ordered by this Court that his recognizance and his sureties  
for his appearance be discharged

John Murphey app<sup>r</sup> his recognizance taken in the sum of Twenty  
pds sterling for his app<sup>r</sup> & good behav<sup>r</sup> to this Court taken before  
the said Justice the nineteenth day of Jan<sup>r</sup> last. no person shewing  
any reason to the Court why the said recognizance should not be discharged  
y<sup>e</sup> Court