

(h) Commissioners' authority; acceptance of road. All matters relating to the work to be done in improving any private road under the provisions of this [subtitle] SECTION, including the scheduling thereof, shall be under the sole control and supervision of the County Commissioners and, in the matter of scheduling [the same] THEM, the County Commissioners [are authorized to] MAY defer the awarding of a contract for the work until all of the shares are collected by them. At no later than the completion of the work, the road shall be accepted into the County road system. (1977, ch. 664.)

27-16.

Property owners within a public road improvement district shall pay their share of the cost of road improvements on the basis of front footage, per lot, or in any other manner as determined by the [Board of] County Commissioners. (1981, ch. 178.)

Footnotes

(1) In an action to recover upon a contract for the rental of a power shovel, held that a judgment n.o.v. properly was entered for the defendant because the county roads engineer had neither statutory nor delegated power to enter into such a contract and because the county commissioners had not approved or ratified the contract. *White v. Washington County*, 227 Md. 573.

(2) Ch. 148 of the Acts of 1945 repealed a number of sections in the 1930 Edition of the local code and also repealed ch. 617 of 1912, which act originally enacted what were sections 521 to 525 in the 1957 code. Since all these sections were later reenacted by ch. 75 of 1924, they were continued as effective in the 1957 Code.

The preamble of ch. 590 of 1959 was as follows:

"WHEREAS, Sections 521 to 527, inclusive, of the Code of Public Local Laws of Washington County (1957 Edition) were enacted by Chapter 75 of the Acts of 1924 subject to final ratification by referendum of the voters of Washington County; and

"WHEREAS, The said voters rejected the Act on referendum vote in 1924, but the results thereof were not reported to the Secretary of State as provided by law; and

"WHEREAS, These sections have remained in the Code of said County because of the absence of official report of the referendum to the Secretary of State; and

"WHEREAS, It is desirable to clarify the legality of these sections by repealing them and enacting new sections in their stead; now, therefore...."