

(V) ANY OTHER MATTER THAT THE INVESTIGATING COMMITTEE OR ITS CHAIRMAN DIRECTS.

(3) THE INVESTIGATING COMMITTEE SHALL PROVIDE TO A WITNESS A CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT OF THE WITNESS' TESTIMONY, IF THE WITNESS ASKS IN ADVANCE AND PAYS FOR THE TRANSCRIPT.

(I) DISCLOSURE OF EVIDENCE RESTRICTED.

(1) THIS SUBSECTION DOES NOT:

(I) PREVENT THE DISCLOSURE OF EVIDENCE BY THE PERSON WHO GIVES EVIDENCE, IF ONLY THAT PERSON COULD CLAIM A PRIVILEGE AGAINST DISCLOSURE; OR

(II) LIMIT ANY POWER OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY, THE SENATE, OR THE HOUSE TO DISCIPLINE A MEMBER OR EMPLOYEE OR TO IMPOSE A PENALTY IF A STATE'S ATTORNEY OR COURT DOES NOT ACT UNDER THIS SUBSECTION.

(2) IF A HEARING OF AN INVESTIGATING COMMITTEE IS CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC, TESTIMONY AND OTHER EVIDENCE THAT IS GIVEN AT THE HEARING MAY NOT BE MADE PUBLIC UNLESS, BY A MAJORITY VOTE OF ALL OF THE MEMBERS OF THE INVESTIGATING COMMITTEE, THE INVESTIGATING COMMITTEE PERMITS DISCLOSURE AND SPECIFIES THE FORM AND MANNER OF DISCLOSURE.

(3) ON APPLICATION OF A PERSON WHO CLAIMS TO HAVE BEEN INJURED OR PREJUDICED BY AN UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OR ON MOTION OF A STATE'S ATTORNEY, THE STATE'S ATTORNEY MAY BEGIN PROCEEDINGS FOR IMPOSITION OF PENALTIES UNDER THIS SUBSECTION.

(4) A PERSON WHO VIOLATES ANY PROVISION OF THIS SUBSECTION IS SUBJECT TO A FINE NOT EXCEEDING \$1,000 OR IMPRISONMENT NOT EXCEEDING 30 DAYS OR BOTH.

REVISOR'S NOTE: This section is new language derived without substantive change from former Art. 40, §§ 78(a) and (b), 81(a) and (c), 82, 83(a) through (c) and (e) through (g), and 86(c) and § 84(a) and the first sentence of (b).

In subsection (a) of this section, the former language "for the performance of its duties" is deleted as surplusage.

In subsection (d)(1), (2)(ii), and (3) of this section, the former references to submission of statements for "incorporation into the record" are deleted as unnecessary in light of subsection (h)(2)(iv) of this section.

In subsection (d)(2)(i) and (3) of this section, the former references to "appear" are deleted as unnecessary in light of the right to "testify".