

Answer. I do not recollect that anything was said about the orders of Mr. Evans or Mr. Roberts at the time of the agreement for settlement, nor did I then complain of such orders or either of them, and at that meeting I did not set up any claim against the State or the Directors. I did not mean to speak of that and did not.

Question. Did you not express to the Board or to some members of it, at that meeting or subsequently, that you thought they were dealing liberally with you, or words to that effect?

Answer. When the settlement was made, I thanked the Board for the settlement because I was glad to make it to enable me to get some of my property out of the place to live upon.

(Copy of Bond filed.)

Question. Did you not, subsequently to the agreement for a settlement, obtain permission from the Directors that your goods might remain on the premises for some time, and did you not verbally or in writing propose to the Board to renew your contract on certain terms?

Answer. At the time of the settlement I did get permission to permit goods to remain until they wished to re-build. Subsequently I received notice that the rent would be \$25 per week for the premises burnt. I asked the Board if they would make a contract with me on certain terms, which they declined.

Question. Where was your property insured, and was the insurance money paid, and why was it not insured for a larger amount?

Answer. The property was insured at the Lynchburg Office and the Valley Insurance Company at Virginia, and it was not insured for a large amount, for the reason that it was difficult to procure good insurance. Application was made and declined by several offices, because the risk was too great.

The Committee adjourned to Monday morning next, at 10 o'clock.

By order,
THOMAS H. MOORE, Clerk.

MONDAY, July 8th, 1861.

The Committee met pursuant to adjournment. Present, Messrs. Sangston and Ford.

The cross-examination of J. H. Duvall continued by Mr. Brune.

A letter marked (B,) now exhibited and filed.