

Mr. Johnson, of Baltimore county, proposed the following amendment:

“Provided however, that the appropriation, as far as St. John’s college is concerned, is not to be considered as binding the State to continue the same, as provided by the joint resolution, number 41, of the 2d March, 1832, unless the said college shall before the next regular session of the Legislature, resume its collegiate exercises, as the same were carried on in the years 1860;”

Which was rejected.

Mr. Phelps proposed to amend as follows:

“And provided, that no part of said appropriation shall be paid to the said college, unless the Governor shall be satisfied that the faculty and teachers in the college are true and loyal citizens;”

Which was rejected.

The question recurring upon the original amendment as proposed by Mr. Hammond, Howard;

The yeas and nays were ordered, and resulted as follow:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Berry, Sp’r.	Creswell,	Stockdale,
Westcott,	McIntire,	Hugg,
Smyth,	Kemp,	Pearson,
Walton,	Duncan,	Herbert,
Nutwell,	Purnell,	Thomas,
Given,	Johnson, of Fr.,	Rohrer,
Pearce,	McCoy,	Dunlop,
Harrison,	Lewis,	White,
Kerr,	Willis,	Everhart,
Dougherty,	Price,	Waters,
Phelps,	Warner,	Hammond, How.,
Carroll,	Wolf,	—35.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Dent,	Leckie,	Hardcastle,
Harris, St. Mary’s	Bowie, Sr.,	Reese,
Dunbar,	McCollister,	Taylor,
Bond,	Hammond, of Fr.,	Mathews,
Magruder,	Coale,	Davis, of Mont.,
Davis, of Charles,	Biggs,	Chamberlain,
Johnson, Balt. co.	Dove,	Donaldson—22.
Turner,		

So the amendment was adopted.