

shal Cathcart, of the 2d District. A part of my duty is to arrest deserters.

*By the Committee.*

*Question by the Committee.* Do you recollect the oath you took upon that occasion of being summoned before the Grand Jury?

Answer. Yes; to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

*Question by the Committee.* You say that you were not asked by the Grand Jury in reference to the flag-raising, or cheers that took place, did not you feel it your duty to disclose those acts—didn't you regard them as acts?

Answer. I did not volunteer any testimony; I answered the questions that were asked me.

*Question by the Committee.* You did not feel obliged to testify in regard to those acts?

Answer. No; from the simple fact that one of the Grand Jurymen had told me the conclusions they had come to about taking testimony.

*The examination of this witness was here concluded.*

SAMUEL N. WHITTLE, called as a witness for the Contestant, being duly sworn, testified as follow:

*Question.* State your name, age and occupation?

Answer. My name is Samuel N. Whittle; I am 23 years old; I am first Lieutenant, Co. F, Seventh Maryland Volunteers; I reside at Towson town when I am at home.

*Question.* Were you at Towson town the last week of April and the first week of May 1861?

Answer. I was there until August, 1862; I have been there all the time; I was born there.

*Question.* Were you there at the raising of the flag after its suppression in the latter part of April, 1861?

Answer. Yes; I saw the flag raised; I did service there; I had my gun; I belonged to the Towson Guards at that time.

*Question.* Are you now a member of the Seventh Regiment, if so, when did you return?

Answer. I came home on leave of absence last Monday morning.

*Question.* State whether at that flag-raising you saw Henry Kone, if you know him?

Answer. Yes.

*Question.* Will you state whether there, or about there at that flag-raising you saw Mr. Grason?

The counsel for the Respondent objected to this evidence, because it was affirmative evidence, and would reopen the whole case again.