VETOES

- (1) WHENEVER MORE INDIVIDUALS THAN ARE NEEDED TO IMPANEL A JURY HAVE BEEN SUMMONED, AN INDIVIDUAL MAY BE EXCUSED BUT ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE OR OTHER LAW.
- (2) AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS SUMMONED FOR JURY SERVICE MAY BE STRUCK FROM A PARTICULAR JURY ONLY:
- (I) IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE OR OTHER LAW, BY A PARTY ON PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE;
- (II) FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, BY A TRIAL JUDGE ON A CHALLENGE BY A PARTY; OR
- (III) SUBJECT TO PARAGRAPH (3) OF THIS SUBSECTION, BY A TRIAL JUDGE WHO FINDS THAT:
- A. THE INDIVIDUAL MAY BE UNABLE TO RENDER IMPARTIAL JURY SERVICE;
- B. THE INDIVIDUAL'S SERVICE LIKELY WOULD DISRUPT THE PROCEEDING; OR
- C. THE INDIVIDUAL'S SERVICE MAY THREATEN THE SECRECY OF A PROCEEDING OR OTHERWISE AFFECT THE INTEGRITY OF THE JURY DELIBERATIONS ADVERSELY.
- (3) A TRIAL JUDGE MAY NOT STRIKE AN INDIVIDUAL UNDER PARAGRAPH (2)(III)C OF THIS SUBSECTION, UNLESS THE JUDGE STATES ON THE RECORD:
 - (I) EACH REASON FOR THE STRIKE; AND
- (II) A FINDING THAT THE STRIKE IS WARRANTED AND NOT INCONSISTENT WITH \S 8–102(A) AND (B) AND 8–104 OF THIS TITLE.
- (4) AN INDIVIDUAL STRUCK UNDER THIS SUBSECTION MAY SERVE ON ANOTHER JURY FOR WHICH THE BASIS FOR THE STRIKE IS IRRELEVANT.
 - (C) CAPITAL CASE.
- (1) A TRIAL JUDGE MAY STRIKE AN INDIVIDUAL ON THE BASIS OF THE INDIVIDUAL'S BELIEF FOR OR AGAINST CAPITAL PUNISHMENT ONLY IF THE JUDGE FINDS THAT THE BELIEF WOULD PREVENT <u>OR SUBSTANTIALLY IMPAIR</u> THE INDIVIDUAL FROM RETURNING AN IMPARTIAL VERDICT ACCORDING TO LAW.
- (2) AN INDIVIDUAL STRUCK UNDER THIS SUBSECTION MAY SERVE ON ANOTHER JURY FOR WHICH THE BASIS FOR THE STRIKE IS IRRELEVANT.
 - COMMITTEE NOTE: Subsection (a) of this section is new language derived from former CJ § 8–207(b)(7) and revised to allow disqualification, rather than make it automatic, and only "while" the entitlement lasts.
 - Subsection (b) of this section is new language derived from former CJ §