The word "implementation" is substituted for the former word "operation".

The newly defined term "jury plan" is substituted for the former reference to "plans formulated under this title", for consistency.

The former phrase "from time to time" is deleted as surplusage.

Defined term: "Jury plan" § 8-101

8-203. CHANGES.

- (A) PROPOSAL OF CIRCUIT COURT.
- (1) A CIRCUIT COURT MAY PROPOSE TO THE COURT OF APPEALS A CHANGE TO THE CIRCUIT COURT'S JURY PLAN AT ANY TIME, BY FILING THE PROPOSAL WITH THE COURT OF APPEALS.
- (2) WITHIN 60 DAYS AFTER A CIRCUIT COURT FILES A PROPOSAL UNDER THIS SUBSECTION, THE COURT OF APPEALS SHALL APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE THE PROPOSAL.
 - (3) A PROPOSAL APPROVED UNDER THIS SUBSECTION IS EFFECTIVE:
 - (I) 61 DAYS AFTER A CIRCUIT COURT FILES THE PROPOSAL; OR
 - (II) ANY EARLIER DATE THAT THE COURT OF APPEALS SETS.
 - (B) ORDER OF COURT OF APPEALS.
- (1) IF THE COURT OF APPEALS ORDERS A CIRCUIT COURT TO CHANGE ITS JURY PLAN, THE CIRCUIT COURT SHALL DO SO.
 - (2) A CHANGE THAT THE COURT OF APPEALS ORDERS IS EFFECTIVE:
 - (I) ON THE DAY THE COURT SETS; BUT
- (II) NOT LATER THAN 90 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF APPROVAL OF THE CIRCUIT COURT'S CHANGE.
 - COMMITTEE NOTE: Subsections (a)(1) through (3)(i) and (b) of this section are new language derived without substantive change from former CJ § 8-203(b) and (c).

Subsection (a)(3)(ii) of this section is new and added to state expressly that which only was implied by the former effective date based on nonaction.

In subsection (a), references to "propos[ing]" and "proposal[s]" are substituted for the former authority to "modify" and former references to "modification[s]" and "promp[t]" filing, to reflect the requirement for approval.

In subsection (a)(1) of this section, the former phrase "of a county" is deleted as unnecessary in light of the definition of "circuit court".

In subsection (b) of this section, the word "orders" is substituted for the