

(3) RESCISSION, SUSPENSION, OR TERMINATION OF ANY CURRENT CONTRACT BETWEEN THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY AND THE STATE UNDER THE TERMS OF THAT CONTRACT;

(4) EXERCISE OF ANY OTHER RIGHTS OR REMEDIES AVAILABLE TO THE STATE UNDER ANY CURRENT CONTRACT BETWEEN THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY AND THE STATE, ~~INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, LIQUIDATED DAMAGES;~~

(5) FINDING THAT THE RESPONDENT BUSINESS ENTITY IS NOT A "RESPONSIBLE BIDDER" WITHIN THE MEANING OF THIS ARTICLE WITH RESPECT TO SPECIFIC CONTRACTS THAT THE STATE HAS PUT OUT FOR BIDS OR INTENDS TO PUT OUT FOR BIDS; ~~OR~~

(6) REFERRAL OF THE MATTER FOR CRIMINAL PROSECUTION OF FRAUD AND OTHER VIOLATIONS UNDER STATE LAW IF APPROPRIATE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES; OR

(7) MEDIATION.

19-111.

(A) IF THE ~~ATTORNEY GENERAL~~ COMMISSION DETERMINES THAT ONE OR MORE ALLEGATIONS OF A COMPLAINT FILED UNDER THIS TITLE ARE FALSE AND THAT THE COMPLAINANT KNEW THEM TO BE FALSE WHEN FILED, OR THAT ONE OR MORE OF THE ALLEGATIONS OF A COMPLAINT ARE ~~SO FRIVOLOUS THAT THEY ARE WHOLLY AND~~ WITHOUT MERIT, THE ~~ATTORNEY GENERAL~~ COMMISSION MAY REFUSE TO REVIEW OR INVESTIGATE ANY SUBSEQUENT COMPLAINT FILED BY THAT COMPLAINANT FOR A PERIOD OF UP TO 3 YEARS.

(B) WHEN THE TOTALITY OF THE EVIDENCE ~~CLEARLY~~ WARRANTS ~~EXTRAORDINARY~~ ACTION TO DETER FUTURE ABUSE OF THE PROTECTIONS MADE AVAILABLE UNDER THIS TITLE, THE ~~ATTORNEY GENERAL~~ COMMISSION MAY ALSO RECOMMEND TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

(1) THAT MONETARY SANCTIONS BE IMPOSED AGAINST THE COMPLAINANT IN THE AMOUNT OF THE COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE AND THE BUSINESS ENTITY IN THE INVESTIGATION AND REVIEW OF THE FALSE OR FRIVOLOUS COMPLAINT, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEYS' FEES; AND

(2) THAT THE COMPLAINANT BE DISQUALIFIED FROM BIDDING AND CONTRACT AWARDS ON STATE PROJECTS FOR A PERIOD OF NOT MORE THAN 3 YEARS.

19-112.

(A) ABSENT A REQUEST FOR A CONTESTED CASE HEARING, THE ~~ATTORNEY GENERAL'S~~ COMMISSION'S FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS SHALL BECOME THE FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION OF THE STATE, SUBJECT TO SUCH JUDICIAL REVIEW AS IS PROVIDED FOR IN A CONTESTED CASE UNDER § 10-222 OF THE STATE GOVERNMENT ARTICLE.

(B) IN CASES WHERE A CONTESTED CASE HEARING HAS BEEN REQUESTED, THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S WRITTEN DECISION SHALL BECOME THE FINAL