

Facilius on the ninth day of January in the 43th year of his Loppes Dominion pe Annoq^{ue} Dom 1674 To the Sheriff of Talbot County Sendeth Greeting Whereas it appeared in the Records in the Secretaries Office remaining in the said Province of Maryland that John Norwood late of the said Province Gent the 21th day of November 1663 had Surveyed for him One thousand Acres of Land lying in the said County On the north side of the Choptank River Beginning at a marked white Oak being the southernmost bound here of the land of Jerome White Esq^r running from the said Oak for breadth Southwest three hundred perches to a point of Marsh at the mouth of the northernmost branch of the River bounded on the west by the said branch the length of five hundred perches northwest on the north by a line drawn north east from the end of the northwest line for breadth three hundred perches On the east by a line drawn Southeast from the end of the northeast line to the land of the said Whites One hundred perches and by Whites land to the first marked Oak on the South by the River on the west by the north branch And whereas Wee are informed that the said parcel of land by severall wayes and meanes is situate become forfeited to Us and that One Edward Roe of his County holds possession thereof without any right or title to entitle him therunto Wee demand the said Sheriff that by lawfull men of his Bailiwicks he make knowne to the said Edward Roe that he be and appears before Us in Our Court of Chancery the ninth day of February next whereforever it shall then be to show cause if any he have why the said parcel of land ought not to be forfeited unto Us and the said Edward Roe be removed from the possession thereof & hereof he is not to fail and that he make knowne to our justices in what manner he execute this writ

At which said ninth day of February in the year aforesaid came the said Edward Roe by Robert Daniels his Attorney and saith that the said parcel of land ought not to be forfeited unto the said Lord Proprietary nor the said Edward be removed from his possession thereof because he saith that he purchased the same of John Edmondson of Talbot County Merchant who upon the conditions of Plantations obtained Patent for the same under the Great Seals of this Province bearing date the eighth of August 1670 and appeared upon Record and the said Edward further saith that the said John Norwood in the said writ of Seizure named never legally Surveyed the said Land, nor ever made good any rights, nor never had any Conditionall or Other warrant for the same, whereby the said Land being Out of his Loppes Mannour and free from any reserve it was lawfull for the said John Edmondson to Survey and Patent the same according to conditions of Plantation And the said Edward Roe further saith that the said Lord Proprietary since from the possession of the said Land will not remove for the reasons aforesaid because he saith that the said Lord Proprietary by his Letters under his hand and Seals bearing date the eighth day of August 1674 and to his Deere Son Charles Calvert his Lieutenant of Maryland directed doth declare that if the first warrant to Norwood cannot be made good and that Rent for the said Land have been duly paid then that the said John Edmondson or his assignes should quietly enjoy the said Land. And thus he is ready to answer and thereupon demands the judgment of this Court if the said parcel of land ought to be forfeited unto the said Lord Proprietary or the said Edward Roe be removed from his possession thereof.

This cause continued untill next Court. Ro: Curvitz.

February 15th 1674
 Ordered by the Court here that all business wherein Robert Daniels and Robert Ridgely are concerned in be continued untill next Court.

5. Maryland

Jo
J

James

John

Jo
Ro