

At a Court of Chancery held at Amersfort Octob<sup>r</sup> 9<sup>th</sup> in y<sup>e</sup> year of our Lord 1698 & y<sup>e</sup> 11<sup>th</sup> year of y<sup>e</sup> Reigne of our Sovereign King William y<sup>e</sup> 3<sup>d</sup>

Present the Decret<sup>r</sup> of y<sup>e</sup> Good old Nath<sup>l</sup> Blakiston Esq<sup>r</sup> of y<sup>e</sup> King's Bench  
Maj<sup>r</sup> John Hammond & 3 of y<sup>e</sup> Hon<sup>ble</sup> Council of Justice  
Thomas Stouch Esq<sup>r</sup>

Caus<sup>s</sup>  
W<sup>m</sup> J<sup>r</sup>  
W<sup>m</sup> J<sup>r</sup>

Wm Rowley  
Nich<sup>l</sup> Smith & wife  
Wm J<sup>r</sup>

Bill filed  
Ordered of y<sup>e</sup> Court do forthwith upon oath before one of y<sup>e</sup> Justices of this Court give up to y<sup>e</sup> Register of this Court all y<sup>e</sup> books of acct<sup>s</sup>, papers, Deeds, Evidence & other Instru<sup>ments</sup> in y<sup>e</sup> debate.

C.C.

Charles Blake heir at Law & one of y<sup>e</sup> Decret<sup>r</sup> of Peter Sayer Esq<sup>r</sup>

Bill being a long time filed & divers Commissions of Rebellion issued ag<sup>t</sup> y<sup>e</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> for want of Answer & appearance thereupon,  
It is by this Court decreed

P.C.

Francis Bradley

That upon y<sup>e</sup> Compt<sup>r</sup> making good his part of y<sup>e</sup> Covenants mentioned in his bill y<sup>e</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> shall perform his part of y<sup>e</sup> Covenants in & his bill expressed according to y<sup>e</sup> true Intent & meaning as explained by this Court: because of & notwithstanding y<sup>e</sup> unskillfull p<sup>er</sup>forming of y<sup>e</sup> Covenants aforesaid

~~Wm J<sup>r</sup>~~  
~~Wm J<sup>r</sup>~~

The Decree at large & in form drawn up by y<sup>e</sup> Council for y<sup>e</sup> Complainant & y<sup>e</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> is in these words following (viz)

The Decree for Blake ag<sup>t</sup> Bradley

Wherof<sup>e</sup> herebefore, y<sup>e</sup> 10<sup>th</sup> day of May in y<sup>e</sup> year of our Lord 1698, Charles Blake heir at Law & one of y<sup>e</sup> Decret<sup>r</sup> of Peter Sayer late of Salter County Gentl<sup>e</sup> did exhibit his bill in this Court of Chancery

setting forth a certain Contract mutually made between y<sup>e</sup> sd<sup>r</sup> Peter Sayer in his life time & one Francis Bradley of y<sup>e</sup> same County for y<sup>e</sup> exchange of certain Lands of y<sup>e</sup> sd<sup>r</sup> Sayer for certain Lands of y<sup>e</sup> sd<sup>r</sup> Bradley & his Wife And y<sup>e</sup> sd<sup>r</sup> Sayer of his part did in his life time perform some part of y<sup>e</sup> Contract of his side to be performed & y<sup>e</sup> sd<sup>r</sup> Blake his heir at Law as aforesaid was ready to perform y<sup>e</sup> remaining part but y<sup>e</sup> same much y<sup>e</sup> sd<sup>r</sup> Bradley was so unskillfully p<sup>er</sup>formed y<sup>e</sup> th<sup>at</sup> y<sup>e</sup> Intent of it is evident y<sup>e</sup> words therefore will not bear an Action at Law to compel y<sup>e</sup> sd<sup>r</sup> Bradley to perform his part of Contract Wherefore y<sup>e</sup> Complainant applied himself to us in our Court for relief & inasmuch upon several proceedings of Attachment of Contempt Commission of