

W D Richard Smith  
G P Edw Rookwood

The bill & Answer were read & after long debate on both sides the cause had this issue

Ordered of y<sup>e</sup> Compt<sup>r</sup> to be relieved of fifteen pounds here adjudged for damages in y<sup>e</sup> provinciale Court & y<sup>e</sup> y<sup>e</sup> Complainant shall pay unto y<sup>e</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> Sixty nine pounds with y<sup>e</sup> odd money (if any) & y<sup>e</sup> Cost of y<sup>e</sup> provinciale suit & y<sup>e</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> pay to y<sup>e</sup> Compt<sup>r</sup> reasonable Cost in Chancery.

Rich<sup>d</sup> Smith  
as  
Def<sup>t</sup> Edw Rookwood

After reading of y<sup>e</sup> bill & answer & y<sup>e</sup> Reply to y<sup>e</sup> y<sup>e</sup> answer the question was put whether or no y<sup>e</sup> Compt<sup>r</sup> be relievable in this case in this Court of Chancery & was resolved in y<sup>e</sup> Affirmative & y<sup>e</sup> y<sup>e</sup> answer being insufficient y<sup>e</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> make farther Answer to the Bill of Complainant

From well sentenced of y<sup>e</sup> Court Mr Phil Clark Attorney for y<sup>e</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> moved for Appeal to y<sup>e</sup> Gov<sup>l</sup> & Council which was denied because y<sup>e</sup> Law forbids Appeals to y<sup>e</sup> Gov<sup>l</sup> in matters under 50<sup>l</sup> sterling

P C Joseph Stevens  
G P Phil Lynes & Co

Upon reading y<sup>e</sup> bill & y<sup>e</sup> distinct Answer of Phil Lynes & y<sup>e</sup> Reply to y<sup>e</sup> Answer

Ordered of attachment for contempt to issue agt John Cornhillson & y<sup>e</sup> Ann Cornhillson to be admitted to sue in foreman proceedings for whom appearance was made by P Clark Ward of y<sup>e</sup> Mr Lynes's concern This Cause to be tried next Court

P C Sam Manthorp Adm<sup>r</sup>  
of Robt Burman

W D & C C John Davies

After reading y<sup>e</sup> bill, answer & Replicate Capt Nic Gassaway & Mrs Ester Gassaway upon oath (viva voce) do say in Court that Capt John Davies y<sup>e</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> bought three

Negro servants from y<sup>e</sup> Station of y<sup>e</sup> S<sup>r</sup> Gassaway & y<sup>e</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> bond for by y<sup>e</sup> Court for payment for y<sup>e</sup> same In well bond y<sup>e</sup> Davies (as y<sup>e</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> says) think was principall & Robert Burman security. But y<sup>e</sup> Court supposing y<sup>e</sup> Davies was first named by mistake, in y<sup>e</sup> bond, well was not regularly drawn, Decreed of y<sup>e</sup> Compt<sup>r</sup> shall give security to indemnify y<sup>e</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> from y<sup>e</sup> bond passed by y<sup>e</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> to y<sup>e</sup> Lord Baltimore & Company for y<sup>e</sup> payment

indofeara  
tract or  
& contain  
tract of  
but then vest  
agreement  
Poloyn  
not  
refused &  
wrote y<sup>e</sup> p  
Complain  
Pims of  
& twenty year  
consideration  
well there  
of y<sup>e</sup> Com  
had fully  
of tobacco  
ion as also  
to y<sup>e</sup> p  
Council as  
Def<sup>t</sup> before  
proviner  
kind of y  
wonly & on  
to Thom  
had & paid un  
unds of tot  
rdid Wh  
in Polors  
the Mov  
of Land m  
by his  
at any time  
y<sup>e</sup> same  
uch Case  
Thomas  
aid out  
to om  
wonly sou  
Som 1696  
Cooper  
Chanc  
Richard