$\underline{\mathtt{OBTAIN}}$ WORK AND THE INDIVIDUAL PROVIDES TANGIBLE EVIDENCE OF SUCH EFFORT.

FOR ADDITIONAL BENEFITS FOR ANY WEEK OF UNEMPLOYMENT IN HIS ELIGIBILITY PERIOD DURING WHICH HE FAILS TO ACCEPT ANY OFFER OF SUITABLE WORK OR FAILS TO APPLY FOR ANY SUITABLE WORK TO WHICH HE IS REFERRED BY THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR. THE DISQUALIFICATION SHALL THEREAFTER CONTINUE UNTIL THE INDIVIDUAL HAS BEEN EMPLOYED DURING AT LEAST 4 WEEKS WHICH BEGIN AFTER HIS FAILURE TO APPLY FOR OR ACCEPT SUITABLE WORK AND HAS EARNINGS THEREIN EQUAL TO AT LEAST 4 TIMES HIS WEEKLY ADDITIONAL BENEFIT AMOUNT.

(III) FOR THE PURPOSES OF SUBPARAGRAPH (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH (4), "SUITABLE WORK" MEANS:

1. THE WORK IS WITHIN THE INDIVIDUAL'S CAPABILITIES;

REMUNERATION PAYABLE FOR THE WORK EXCEEDS THE SUM OF THE INDIVIDUAL'S WEEKLY ADDITIONAL BENEFIT AMOUNT PLUS ANY SUPPLEMENTAL UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION BENEFITS (AS DEFINED IN SECTION 501(C)(17)(D) OF THE FEDERAL INTERNAL REVENUE CODE) PAYABLE TO THE INDIVIDUAL FOR THE WEEK;

OR EXCEED THE HIGHER OF THE MINIMUM WAGE PROVIDED BY SECTION 6(A)(1) OF THE FEDERAL FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT OF 1938, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY EXEMPTIONS, OR ANY APPLICABLE STATE OR LOCAL MINIMUM WAGE;

4. THE WORK IS LISTED WITH THE DIVISION OF EMPLOYMENT SERVICE OR IS OFFERED TO THE INDIVIDUAL IN WRITING; AND

FROVISIONS OF SECTION 6(D) OF ARTICLE 95A TO THE EXTENT THAT SUCH PROVISIONS ARE NOT INCONSISTENT WITH THE PROVISIONS OF ITEMS 1 THROUGH 5 OF THIS SUBPARAGRAPH (III).".

Delegate Masters moved the previous question.

The motion was adopted.

Delegate Neall's amendments were read and rejected by a roll call vote as follows:

Affirmative: 32

Negative: 106

(See Roll Call No. 2134)

AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL NO. 1