

Annapolis, Maryland 21404

Dear Mr. Speaker:

In accordance with Article II, Section 17 of the Maryland Constitution, I have today vetoed House Bill 165.

This bill increases the expense allowance of the sheriffs of Baltimore City by \$100. It has been brought to my attention that the sheriffs were included in the annual salary review conducted by the Secretary of Personnel and that the emolument provided by this bill is therefore unnecessary. The Chairman of the Baltimore City Delegation, the Baltimore City Senators, and the chief sponsor of the bill agree that House Bill 165 should be vetoed in light of the salary adjustment made for the sheriffs of Baltimore City. A copy of the veto request from Baltimore City is attached and should be considered as part of this message.

For this reason I have decided to veto House Bill 165 with the consent of the chief sponsor.

Sincerely,
Harry Hughes
Governor

May 26, 1982

Mr. Carl Eastwick
Chief Legislative Officer
202 State House
Annapolis, Md. 21404

Dear Mr. Eastwick:

I am writing to request that HB 165 be vetoed. This is a measure to increase by one hundred dollars the annual expense allowance for the sheriffs of Baltimore City.

When HB 165 was considered by the City Delegation and the City Senators and enacted by the General Assembly, it was generally thought that, despite the efforts of the City Delegates and City Senators, the employees of the City Sheriff's Office were not to be included in the annual salary review conducted by the Secretary of Personnel. This proved incorrect; at the last minute there was an adjustment to the annual salary review providing a significant increase in the salaries of the personnel covered by HB 165. Accordingly it is not appropriate to provide the kind of adjustment which the bill proposed to make in their expense allowance.