

(e) To the extent appropriate to the evaluation of a board and consistent with the evaluation plan adopted under subsection (c) of this section, the evaluation report shall discuss:

(1) The purpose of the regulation and the manner of operation of the board designed to achieve the purpose;

(2) Any change in conditions since the regulation was established that suggests the need for change in the regulation;

(3) Whether the absence of regulation would significantly affect the public health, safety, or welfare;

(4) Whether the regulation has increased or decreased the costs of any goods or services;

(5) Whether the statute establishes a clear regulatory mandate and the board complies with the statutory mandate;

(6) Whether the board has established clear objectives and the extent to which the objectives have been achieved;

(7) Whether other entities, programs, or [activities] FUNCTIONS of the State government have the same or similar objectives, and, if so, a comparison of the cost and effectiveness of [such] THE entities, programs, or [activities] FUNCTIONS, and identification of any duplication of the entity BOARD under review;

(8) Whether other entities, programs, or [activities] FUNCTIONS of local government, the federal government, or the private sector provide the same or similar services;

(9) Whether the board is given budget and staff resources and enforcement authority adequate or in excess of what is needed to carry out its statutory mandate[. This discussion shall include], INCLUDING a description of the board's source of funds;

(10) Whether the board has permitted only qualified applicants for licenses to serve the public and has not unduly restricted access to any profession or occupation;

(11) Whether the board has operated in an open and accountable manner, with public access to records and meetings, and safeguards against conflicts of interest;

(12) Whether the board has encouraged participation by the public as opposed to participation