THAT WAS MADE:

- (II) THE INSPECTION, EXAMINATION, OR TEST AND THE INSTRUMENTS, PERSONNEL, AND METHODS USED IN CONNECTION WITH IT REASONABLY WOULD BE EXPECTED TO DISCLOSE THE EXISTENCE OF THE VIOLATION;
- (III) THE INSPECTION, EXAMINATION, TEST, OR THE WRITTEN REPORT PROVIDED NO BASIS FOR A BELIEF THAT THE ALLEGED VIOLATION EXISTED; AND
- (IV) THE PERSON DID NOT COMMIT THE ALLEGED VIOLATION PURPOSELY, KNOWINGLY, RECKLESSLY, OR NEGLIGENTLY.
- (2) THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION APPLY TO ANY VIOLATION OF ANY OF THE FOLLOWING PROVISIONS OF THIS SUBTITLE:
- (I) § 4-256(1), CONCERNING THE MANUFACTURE OR SALE OF AN ADULTERATED OR MISBRANDED ARTICLE;
- (II) § 4-256(2), CONCERNING THE ADULTERATION OR MISBRANDING OF ANY ARTICLE;
- (III) § 4-256(4), CONCERNING THE RECEIPT IN COMMERCE OF ANY ADULTERATED OR MISBRANDED ARTICLE;
- (IV) § 4-256(5), CONCERNING THE DELIVERY OF ANY ADULTERATED OR MISBRANDED ARTICLE;
- (V) § 4-258(B)(13), CONCERNING THE FAILURE TO IDENTIFY ON A PRESCRIPTION FORM THE NAME OF THE PRESCRIBER OF A DRUG;
- (VI) § 4-258(B)(14), CONCERNING THE DISPENSING OF A DRUG ON A WRITTEN PRESCRIPTION THAT LACKS THE NAME OF THE PRESCRIBER; AND
- (VII) § 4-258(B)(15), CONCERNING THE PROVISION TO THE SECRETARY OF INFORMATION ABOUT PRESCRIPTION DRUGS.
- (C) EXAMINATION BY SAMPLES CONSIDERED SUFFICIENT TEST FOR VIOLATIONS.
- FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, AN INSPECTION, EXAMINATION, OR TEST BY REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES OF A LOT, DELIVERY, OR OTHER MERCANTILE QUANTITY IS CONSIDERED TO BE A METHOD THAT REASONABLY WOULD BE EXPECTED TO DISCLOSE THE EXISTENCE OF A VIOLATION WITH RESPECT TO ALL OF THE ARTICLES INCLUDED IN THE LOT, DELIVERY, OR OTHER MERCANTILE QUANTITY.
- (D) LIABILITY OF PERSONS IN THE BUSINESS OF DISSEMINATING ADVERTISEMENTS.