

provisions are deleted as unconstitutional. See 62 Op. Att'y Gen. at 268.

The Commission to Revise the Annotated Code calls the attention of the General Assembly to subsection (a)(7) of this section, which, as revised, prohibits a licensed dentist from advertising to perform "nonroutine dental work free or for a stated price". The Commission believes that the constitutionality of this provision is doubtful. However, the reported judicial decisions on price advertising address only "routine" services, and, consequently, the Commission is unable to say with certainty that a ban on advertising the price of "nonroutine" services is unconstitutional.

The Commission to Revise the Annotated Code also calls to the attention of the General Assembly that, while the complete ban on advertising in present Art. 32, § 12(a) is unconstitutional, a prohibition of advertising on the electronic broadcast media possibly would be constitutionally permissible. See Bates v. State Bar of Arizona, supra, 433 U.S. at 384.

4-503. SPECIALIZATION.

(A) IN GENERAL.

A LICENSED DENTIST MAY NOT REPRESENT TO THE PUBLIC THAT THE LICENSEE IS A SPECIALIST IN ANY FIELD OF SPECIALIZED DENTAL PRACTICE UNLESS IDENTIFIED AS A SPECIALIST IN THAT FIELD BY THE BOARD.

(B) DETERMINATION OF QUALIFICATIONS ON REQUEST.

IF A LICENSED DENTIST REQUESTS, THE BOARD SHALL DETERMINE WHETHER THE LICENSEE QUALIFIES FOR BOARD IDENTIFICATION AS A SPECIALIST.

(C) FIELDS FOR SPECIALIZED DENTAL PRACTICE.

THE FOLLOWING BRANCHES OF DENTISTRY ARE ESTABLISHED AS SUITABLE FIELDS FOR SPECIALIZED DENTAL PRACTICE, TO BE KNOWN AS "SPECIALTIES":

- (1) ENDODONTICS;
- (2) ORAL PATHOLOGY;
- (3) ORAL SURGERY;
- (4) ORTHODONTICS;
- (5) PEDODONTICS;