

August Court 1703

Daniel Sherwood of Kent County
vs
Andrew Cannard Daniel Sherwood of a plea of *Trassage* upon *Life*

And whereupon the Plaintiff by Richard Mathlin his atty. complains that whereas the said Andrew the 1st Day of April Anno Dni 1702 at Salisbury sent by *it* in the jurisdiction of this Court stood in Debt unto the said Daniel of sum of one pound ten shillings and 6 pence being for Indebtedness as aforesaid Did Draw a certain note or script. Signed in the name of the said Andrew Directed to a certain Richard Bennett of Salisbury County requiring of said Richard Bennett to pay to or certain Daniel Sherwood of sum of 10. 00. 00. the said note or script is here in Court brought. In consideration thereof the said Andrew upon himself a sum of £ by the said Daniel Sherwood faithfullly promised that if the said Richard Bennett should fail in payment of the said sum of 10. 00. 00. unto him the said Daniel Sherwood that then the said Andrew of sum of 01. 10. 00. unto the said Daniel Sherwood would & truly pay when thereunto required and the said Daniel in fact saith that he did plant of the said note or script unto the said Richard Bennett requiring of the said Richard the payment of the said money in the said note contained, who utterly refused payment. Thereof yett never satisfied the said Andrew to pay according to his aforesaid promise a sumption although often demanded hath Denied & utterly refused and still Doth Deny & refuse to pay Damages of the said Daniel Sherwood 3. 00. 00. & thereupon he brings this suit

Mathling of B. Rogers vs Doct. Dea

Whereupon the said Andrew makes no appearance neither by himselfe nor by atty. and in case of non appearance the Court by his atty. proff. Judgment is granted to the Plaintiff upon Default

Therefore its Ordered by this Court here this Day to witt of 24 Day of August Anno Dni 1703 that the said Plaintiff recover of the said Defendant as well of sum of one pound ten shillings Debt as aforesaid as of sum of two hundred and fifty seven pounds of costs of suit & the said Court in mercy

Samuel Ch

Thomas Snelling of Kent County
vs
Andrew Cannard at Bury Andrew Cannard was summoned to answer unto Thomas Snelling of a plea of the tender him of full & just sum of four hundred pounds of good sound tobe in Cash w^{ch} to him he oweth and from him unjustly Detained - as by an obligation & Debt filed in this Court by Edward Bonwick of the said County of same more at large may appear

And if the Defendant in his proper person comes and Defends if force of injury whereat all causes be being continued (the Court being adjourned) untill next Court to next Court (to witt) this twenty fourth Day of August Anno Dni 1703 here aforesaid but if the said Defendant shall be absent (called) (come not neither by himselfe or by atty. aforesaid) (but makes Default) to make Defense in the Court thereupon if the Court by his atty. proff. Judgment

Which being by the Court this Day to witt of 24 Day of August Anno Dni 1703 seen, heard & understood, its by them Considered that the said Thomas Snelling recover of the said Andrew Cannard as well of sum of four hundred pounds of tobe & of sum of two hundred and nine (cost of suit & the said Court in mercy