

would, however, impose an undue burden on the courts, which would be required to individually determine allowable commissions in cases where there is no pre-existing agreement since no statutory schedule is residually applicable where the parties have not agreed. The need for such determinations is inconsistent with the Maryland tradition of informal trust administrations. The bill would either inject the courts into making detailed reviews of trustees' petitions in a large number of estates or would require them to promulgate schedules of allowable commissions, an essentially legislative function.

For these reasons, I have been asked by the Maryland Court Administrator and by the Section Council of the Section of Estates and Trusts of the Maryland Bar Association to veto the bill. Finding these reasons to be persuasive, I have decided to veto House Bill 55.

Sincerely,
Harry Hughes
Governor

House Bill No. 143

AN ACT concerning

Bear Creek Bridges

FOR the purpose of transferring title to the Bear Creek bridges to Baltimore County, Maryland as of a certain date under a certain condition; prohibiting the charging of tolls on these bridges; relating generally to the Bear Creek bridges in Baltimore County; and making this Act contingent on the passage of another measure.

May 29, 1979

Honorable Benjamin L. Cardin
Speaker of the House of Delegates
State House
Annapolis, Maryland 21404

Dear Mr. Speaker:

In accordance with Article II, Section 17 of the Maryland Constitution, I have today vetoed House Bill 143.