

of Art. 1, §9 of the Code.

The only other changes are in style.

(C) INTERPRETATION OF LAW; CONTROVERSIES AND DISPUTES.

(1) THIS SUBSECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO BALTIMORE CITY.

(2) SUBJECT TO THE AUTHORITY OF THE STATE BOARD UNDER §2-205(E) OF THIS ARTICLE, EACH COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT SHALL EXPLAIN THE TRUE INTENT AND MEANING OF:

(I) THE SCHOOL LAW; AND

(II) THE APPLICABLE BYLAWS OF THE STATE BOARD.

(3) SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF §6-203 AND SUBTITLE 4 OF TITLE 6 OF THIS ARTICLE AND WITHOUT CHARGE TO THE PARTIES CONCERNED, EACH COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT SHALL DECIDE ALL CONTROVERSIES AND DISPUTES THAT INVOLVE:

(I) THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE COUNTY BOARD; AND

(II) THE PROPER ADMINISTRATION OF THE COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOL SYSTEM.

~~(4) A DECISION MAY BE APPEALED TO THE COUNTY BOARD AND FURTHER APPEALED TO THE STATE BOARD IF TAKEN IN WRITING WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THE FINAL DECISION OF THE COUNTY BOARD. A DECISION OF A COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT MAY BE APPEALED TO THE COUNTY BOARD IF TAKEN IN WRITING WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THE DECISION OF THE COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT. THE DECISION MAY BE FURTHER APPEALED TO THE STATE BOARD IF TAKEN IN WRITING WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THE DECISION OF THE COUNTY BOARD.~~

REVISOR'S NOTE: This subsection presently appears as Art. 77, §59.

Paragraph (1) of this subsection is new language derived from the exception for Baltimore City in present Art. 77, §56D(a).

In paragraph (2) of this section, new language is added to conform this subsection with the authority of the State Board under §2-205(e) of this article.

In paragraph (3) of this subsection, new language is added to include the hearing examiner provisions of §6-203 of this article.

In subsection (c)(4) of this section, a 30 day time limit is placed on appeals to the State Board. No time limit is placed on appeals to the county board. It would appear that legislative